## JBIC ODA Loan Project Mid-Term Review Report

Project Name: Vietnam "Hai Phong Port Rehabilitation Project (Phase II)"

[Outline of Loan Agreement]

Loan Amount/Loan Disbursed Amount : 13,287 million yen/5,630 million yen (as of March 2005)

Signing of Loan Agreement : March 2000 (6th year)

[Executing Agency]

Ministry of Transport

## [Project Objective]

This project was to construct and rehabilitate container facilities and navigation channels at Hai Phong Port, the second largest international port in Vietnam, in order to increase the port's cargo handling capacity and thereby contribute to the promotion of socio-economic development in northern Vietnam.

Consultants: Transport engineering Consultants (Vietnam) / Oversea Coastal Area Development Institute of Japan (Japan) / Nippon Koei, Co. Ltd. Contractors: Toa Corporation / Penta-Ocean Construction, Co. Ltd.

[Outline of Results] (Field Survey: February 2005)

Item	Appraisal (March 1999)				id-Term Rev				
Relevance]	<ol> <li>(1) The Doimoi policy calls for the development of northern Vietnam (Hanoi, Hai Phong and Halong Bay) as one of the priority areas. Vietnam's 5-Year Plan for Socio-Economic Development (2001-2005) and the National Socio-Economic Development Strategy (2001-2010) also attach particular importance to the development of ports to accommodate the increasing demand for maritime cargo handling.</li> <li>(2) "Seaport Development Master Plan 2010" puts emphasis on the improvement of existing ports including Hai Phong Port and sets a policy of enhancing the efficiency of handling an increasing volume of cargo.</li> <li>(3) This project was to rehabilitate Hai Phong Port, which had difficulties in handling the increasing volume of cargo due to the delay in containerization of cargo for transport, aged facilities, limited cargo handling space, and undeveloped access roads, and therefore it was a high priority project.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>The national plans announced in Decemalso suggests expansion highly important for the suggest of t</li></ol>	aber 2003 (pro- tion of function the national po- nent Master P ribute to rapiont.	oposals for the pass of existing populary.  In 2010" is effect of the conomic grown.	oromotion of orts in norther fective today wth. Therefo	foreign invest on and souther, and the impro-	tments between Vietnam. To orovement of the	en the two co hus, the port existing porte e second larg	ountries made sector remain s is one of the est Hai Phon
[Effectiveness] (1) Increase in cargo	(1) Annual cargo handling volume: 5.54 million tons (1998) <sup>1</sup>	(1) Annual cargo handli							
handling capacity of Hai Phong Port	: 5.86 million tons (estimate for 2005) : 7.07million (target for 2010)	(1) Allitual Cargo Hallotti	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2007 (Estimated)
	(wings) for 2010)	Total Cargo Volume (t)	6,509,547	7,645,643	8,575,512	10,321,353	10,518,262	10,486,507	13,000,000
		Export (t)	939,139	1,233,892	1,336,393	1,365,476	1,757,845	1,792,446	, ,
		Import (t)	3,170,249	3,586,396	4,357,606	5,286,584	5,401,816	5,368,625	
		Domestic (t)	2,400,159	2,825,355	2,881,513	3,669,293	3,358,601	3,325,436	
		Container Cargo (t)	2,230,890	2,508,840	2,738,478	4,023,345	4,914,749	4,854,731	
		Container Cargo (TEU)	198,779	218,886	227,159	344,028	376,644	398,353	
		The annual cargo handling volume has increased from 5.54 million tons in 1998 to 10.49 million tons in 2 Reasons for this increase include (1) remarkable economic growth of Vietnam as evident by the GDP growth exceeding the level assumed at the time of F/S, and (2) increase in the number of domestic and foreign comparentering the Vietnamese market, which led to the increase in investment by domestic and foreign companies ir areas along National Routes 5 and 18 including the suburbs of Hanoi as well as Hai Phong City, and the increase the number of companies located in the industrial complex. The estimated annual cargo handling volume for 2 (by the implementing agency, at the year of completion of this project) will be 13 million tons.							OP growth rate ign companie mpanies in the the increase in
	(2) Average waiting time (time on demurrage): no target set	(2) Average waiting time (unit : 1						(unit : hour	
			1999	2000	2001	200		2003	2004
		General Cargo	30	28		28	35	34	34
		Container Cargo	14	16		18	18	18	18

 $<sup>^{1}\,</sup>$  Figures for 2005 and 2010 are from Ministry of Transport.

	(3) Berth occupancy rate: no target set	(3) Berth occupancy rate							
			1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	
		General Cargo Vessels	73%	75%	78%	73%	72%	74%	
		Container Cargo Vessels	51%	66%	59%	60%	65%	66%	
	(4) Gross tonnage of entering vessels: no target set	(4) Gross tonnage of	entering vessels						
			1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	
		Others Vessels	4,311,000	5,173,000	5,685,000	5,800,000	7,332,000	7,546,000	
		Container Vessels	2,717,000	3,260,000	3,582,000	3,656,000	4,353,000	4,481,000	
	(5) Containerization rate: no target set	(5) Containerized Car							
			1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	
		Containerized Cargo ratio	34%	33%	32%	39%	47%	46%	
Reference Information [Efficiency]									
	· Improvement of navigation channels: river channels and part of marine channels · Construction of the Chua Ve Port container terminal: container berth 2 container					part of its depth v			
	<ul> <li>Construction of the Chua Ve Port container terminal: container berth 2, container terminal, etc.</li> <li>Rehabilitation of the quay shed, etc.: rehabilitation of the control office, container freight station, etc.</li> </ul>	planned -5.5m to volume.							
	<ul> <li>Construction of the Chua Ve Port container terminal: container berth 2, container terminal, etc.</li> <li>Rehabilitation of the quay shed, etc.: rehabilitation of the control office, container</li> </ul>	planned -5.5m to	o -7.0m in order						
(2) Implementation Schedule	<ul> <li>Construction of the Chua Ve Port container terminal: container berth 2, container terminal, etc.</li> <li>Rehabilitation of the quay shed, etc.: rehabilitation of the control office, container freight station, etc.</li> </ul>	planned -5.5m to volume.  Consulting Serv  (2) March 2000- Febrasons for dela Delays in the Loan projects Delays in the	o -7.0m in order ice : 405M/M ruary 2007 (sched	to deal with in duled) (84 month re and other production land acquisition)	s) cedures due to a on (500ha) neces	lack of experiences	sceeded the orig	inal estimated	
Schedule  (3) Project Cost	<ul> <li>Construction of the Chua Ve Port container terminal: container berth 2, container terminal, etc.</li> <li>Rehabilitation of the quay shed, etc.: rehabilitation of the control office, container freight station, etc.</li> <li>Consulting Service: 421.5M/M</li> <li>(2) March 2000 - January 2004 (47 months)</li> <li>(3) 16,319 million yen</li> </ul>	planned -5.5m to volume.  Consulting Serv  (2) March 2000- Febroman Reasons for delated Delays in the Loan projects Delays in the acquisition is a constant of the second	ice: 405M/M ruary 2007 (scheony bidding procedures for almost completed	duled) (84 month re and other prod land acquisitio and dredging wo	s) cedures due to a on (500ha) neces ork is in progress	lack of experiences	nce of procurement	inal estimated ent under ODA	
Schedule  (3) Project Cost	<ul> <li>Construction of the Chua Ve Port container terminal: container berth 2, container terminal, etc.</li> <li>Rehabilitation of the quay shed, etc.: rehabilitation of the control office, container freight station, etc.</li> <li>Consulting Service: 421.5M/M</li> <li>(2) March 2000 - January 2004 (47 months)</li> </ul>	planned -5.5m to volume.  Consulting Serv  (2) March 2000- Febroman Reasons for delated Delays in the Loan projects Delays in the acquisition is a constant of the second	ice: 405M/M ruary 2007 (scheony bidding procedures for almost completed	duled) (84 month re and other prod land acquisitio and dredging wo	s) cedures due to a on (500ha) neces ork is in progress	lack of experiences	nce of procurement	inal estimated ent under ODA	

 $<sup>^{2}\,</sup>$  Here, containerized cargo ratio is defined as Container cargo volume / Total cargo volume.