#### JBIC ODA Loan Project Mid-Term Review

Time of Mid-Term Review Field Survey: June 2006

Project Title: Thailand: "Project for Revitalization of the Deteriorated Environment in the Land Reform Areas through Integrated Agricultural Development (Stage I) (L/A No. TXXIII-10)

#### [Loan Outline]

Loan Amount/Contract Approved Amount/Disbursed Amount: 3,617 million yen/2,125 million yen/1,703 million yen (end June 2006)

Loan Agreement: Entered September 1998

Loan Completion: Six years following issuance of loan agreement (January 2005, extended to January 2008)

Executing Agency: Agricultural Land Reform Office (ALRO) of the Thai Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperatives

#### [Project Objective]

The objective of this project is to foster integrated agriculture in four land reform provinces in northeast Thailand (Mukdahan, Sakon Nakhon, Maha Sarakham, Khon Kaen) by supporting the construction of farm ponds, the repair of farm roads, and the introduction of micro-irrigation for farmers who have received farming rights on cultivated land by ALRO, and thereby contribute to bettering the lives of farmers in the target regions, help them become independent, and strengthen the overall community through farmer group activities aimed at "capacity development." In addition, by conducting this project, the goal is to restrain the use of protected forests adjoining the farms, and thereby contribute to forest conservation.

Consultant: A joint venture comprised of Sanyu Consultants forming the nucleus together with TEAM Consulting Engineering and Management Co., LTD. (Thailand) and another four companies.

Contractor: All Thai enterprises (Ludee Prayong Co., Ltd., Sahabun Engineering Co., Ltd., ESRI (Thailand) Co., Ltd., Umphon Engineering Co., Ltd. etc.)

Item	Results of ex-ante evaluation (March 2001)	Ex-post evaluation results as estimated at time of mid-term review
[Relevance]	In its Eighth National Economic and Social Development Plan	In Thailand's Ninth National Economic and Social Development Plan
(1) National policy level	(1997-2001), it shifts its focus from economic development of Bangkok,	(2002-2006), poverty reduction was a prominent issue. Northeastern region
	Metropolitan Area which was promoted under up to the Seventh Plan.	the northeast is poor and has traditionally been a focus of support in the
	Instead, decentralized economic activity and the development of local	agriculture sector. In addition, the "Sufficiency Economy" advocated by the
	and rural villages became urgent government policy themes.	king upon the economic crisis of 1997 suggests that the people should spend
		what they can afford.
	Based on the above, from the standpoint of creating jobs for employees	Thailand's Ninth Agricultural Development Plan (2002-2006) stipulates that
(2) Policy level	following the 1997 currency crisis, the Ministry of Agriculture and	the government should promote economic and social stabilization and
	Cooperatives established the Economic Policies and Measures by the	independence of farmers through helping them improve the quality of their
	Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperatives, which was approved by the	lives. According to the plan, it should also establish farmers development
	cabinet in 1998, as a way to promote rural development. Moreover, in the	networks to strengthen farmer-run organizations while conforming to the
	Land Reform Act enacted in 1975, if aims to promote distribution of land	region's local wisdom and traditional knowledge and culture. The present
	to poor farmers in Northeastern region who had no land title,	project is designed to farmers become independent through integrated
	establishment of agriculture management, and improvement of peoples'	agriculture, and as such can be said to conform to government policy.
	livelihood.	

(3) Planning level	farmers in the land reform area in northeast Thailand. As such the project was a matter of high priority.		The present project was conducted in were based on a feasibility study by Ja Although certain revisions were made farm ponds and farm roads have prestrengthen networks among farmers fostering leaders in the community.	pan Internation , work on basi roceeded as pl	al Cooperatio c infrastructur anned. It con	n Agency. re such as ntinues to	
[Effectiveness]	(1) Operation and Effect indicators Quantitative effects At the time of the ex-ante evaluation, no indicators were set. However, JICA feasibility figures were recorded below under Output as baseline estimates for such things as the number of farm ponds, etc.		(1) Operation and Effect indicators Quantitative effects The indicators below were set based on	the project's w	ork contents.		
	1) Operation indicators Number of farm ponds provided, length pump-based irrigation area.	of farm roads serv	iced, dam- or	Operation indicators     Number of farm ponds provided, leng irrigation area, number of micro-irrigat			ımp-based
		Initial target			Revised	Present	
		(July 1998)			targets	(May 2006)	
	1) Number of farm ponds provided	10,714			(January 2005)		
	2) Number of farm ponds expanded	1,607		1) Number of farm ponds provided	4,232	4,089	
	3)Number of community ponds	20		2) Number of farm ponds expanded	649	396	
	provided	504		3) Number of community ponds	29	23	
	4) Length of farm roads (km)	504 13,800		provided 4) Length of farm roads serviced	830	828	
	5)Irrigation area (rai) 6)Locations targeted for	13,800 NA		(km)	830	020	
	micro-irrigation	IVA		5) Irrigation area (rai)	5,519	5,457	
	micro miguion			6) Locations targeted for	1,624	1,623	
				micro-irrigation	Í	•	
				Note 1: See below for figures for each			
				Note 2: Revised figures were set based			y the time
				that extension of the yen loan expiration	n date was bein	g considered.	
				Note 3: 1 rai = 0.16ha Note 4: Micro-irrigation was added to t	he plan in Dece	ember 2002	
				11000 4. Mileto irrigation was added to t	ine plan in Dece		
				There is a wide gap between the initie.g., as the number of farm ponds. Vogether, it was determined that there vo	When the topo	graphical map	o was put

deal of land for public use, and community forests. Furthermore, ponds could only be developed on farmlands for which farming rights had been granted and considerable time was needed between the application for farming rights and its approval, which caused significant change in the target number (there are no plans to build new farm ponds). At present, the forecast is that the revised targets will be attained for the development of farm roads, irrigation, and micro-irrigation.

To protect forests, the government grants legal permission for farmlands and prevents the destruction of forests through illegal expansion of farmland. However, no measurements have been taken to assess the quantitative effect of these measures.

#### 2) Effect indicators

While the present project has partly contributed to raising farmer incomes through higher production due to micro-irrigation or dam-based irrigation, the Thai government and executing agency (ALRO) have focused on transitioning from rain-fed agriculture dependent on a solitary crop—such as rice, cassava, or sugar cane—to a more integrated and independent form of agriculture. In other words, the main purpose of the present project is not increasing income per se, but rather on having people learn to resolve their own problems—the emphasis being on raising the farming communities' capacity by developing integrated farm agriculture.

In addition, the state of pond usage, the number of networks that village leaders are participating in actively, and the number of community markets were established as indicators. The state of farm pond usage is to be judged according to four grades (excellent, good, fair, to be improved) set by ALRO for farm ponds built under this project. (Details are attached below.) Because the loan expires in January 2008, the target figures are the fugures confirmation of objectives will take place at the time of the ex-post evaluation (estimated).

Number of networks in which village				
le	eaders are taking an active	e role.		
	Number of village	Number of village		
Number of target	leader networks	leader networks		
villages (tambons)	active in the tambons	active at the time of		
villages (tambolis)	(June 2006)	the ex-post evaluation		
		(January 2010)		

Note 1: A tambon is an administrative unit at the village level in Thailand.

Note 2: See below for figures by target province.

With support from Village Foundation, a Thai NGO, village leader activities have been developed. A part of those activities take the form of an organization named In Pang, which has regional span. However, rather than having entire tambons act under their leaders, it is organized by the farmer farmers who support their leader's guidance.

Number of community markets established

rumber of community markets established			
		Target number of	
Number of torget	Number of	community markets	
Number of target	community markets	at time of ex-post	
villages (tambons)	(June 2006)	evaluation	
		(January 2010)	
33	8	18	

Note: See below for figures by target province.

The number of community markets is increasing in response to the heightened demand for organic farming. In addition, some tambons are sending a team to study community markets in other tambons, and are setting up similar markets of their own. It is expected that they will be reaching their targets.

- (2) Factors which may influence the effectiveness and impact
  - Institutionalization of village leaders. Shifts from organizations composed only of farmers who agree, to those in which all of the target village's farmers participate.
  - Maintenance of expanding community markets. Currently, many villages are sending a team to study community markets, and there is a need to disseminate the markets' management methods.

		<ul> <li>Withdrawal from integrated agriculture a shift to the farming of solitary crops. There is a chance that some will withdraw from the practice of integrated agriculture by turning towards the easier-to-maintain solo crop cultivation such as cassava. Others may relinquish farmlands on account of increased chances for other sources of income.</li> <li>Stagnation of farm income due to sluggish crop prices. This includes a drop in prices due to overproduction of particular crops.</li> <li>(3) Factors which may influence the sustainability</li> <li>While the items in (2) above affect project effectiveness or impact, they also have a direct or indirect effect on sustainability.</li> <li>Transfer of control of operation and maintenance to each target village. Control of operation and management for farm roads, community ponds, dams, and pump stations is being transferred to each target village. But because the operation and maintenance fees for individual target villages are insufficient, operation and maintenance may not be conducted continuously.</li> <li>Sustainability of integrated agriculture and successorship. There is a trend for the younger generation to head to the cities in search of education and a better life, and the population of those engaged in agriculture is aging. Given this, it is vital that the attraction of agriculture itself be heightened, and secure a new generation as a capable successor.</li> </ul>
Information for reference	Results of ex-ante evaluation (March 2001)	Ex-post evaluation results as estimated at time of mid-term review
[Efficiency]		
(1) Outputs	(1) Outputs	(1) Outputs (same as the revised target)
	<ol> <li>Basic agricultural infrastructure         <ul> <li>(a) Construction of new farm ponds (approx. 10,000 locations/1,200m³ scale)</li> <li>(b) Expansion of existing farm ponds (approx. 1,600 locations)</li> <li>(c) Community ponds(20 locations/100,000m³ scale)</li> <li>(d) Construction of farm roads (approx. 500km, 6/4/3 m wide)</li> <li>(e) Construction of irrigation facilities</li> <li>(f) Making of 1/4000-scale maps</li> </ul> </li> <li>Procurement of construction machinery (about 20 excavators and other equipment)</li> <li>Environmental measures (soil and water preservation activities)</li> <li>Support for the organization of agricultural institutions, training of</li> </ol>	1) Basic agricultural infrastructure (a) Construction of new farm ponds (4,232 locations) (b) Expansion of existing farm ponds (649 locations) (c) Community ponds (29 locations) (d) Construction of farm roads (830km) (e) Construction of irrigation facilities (including micro-irrigation) (f) Making of 1/4000-scale maps  2) Procurement of construction machinery Construction machinery was not procured because there was a switch from having ALRO directly manage the work to having the work contracted out to another party.

	personnel	3) Environmental measures		
	5) Low-interest loans for farmers	Farmers' consent to conduct soil erosion was not obtained. On the other		
	6) Consulting services	hand, because tree planting was already their standard approach for soil		
	(Foreigners: 33 man-months/local consultants: 480 man-months)	conservation, planting was used instead under ALRO's budget.		
	(1 of eighers. 33 man-months/local consultants. 400 man-months)	4) Support for the organization of agricultural institutions, training of		
		personnel		
		In addition to fostering village leaders, learning centers provided a venue for		
		farmers to share their experiences and disseminate knowledge about organic		
		fertilization.		
		5) Low-interest loans for farmers		
		While it is outside the scope of the yen loan for this project, financing within		
		farmer groups is taking place in a way that they can obtain loans under the most favorable terms possible. Loans from ALRO's fund are being used for		
		buying materials to expand integrated agriculture, financing livestock		
		purchases, expanding ponds, and purchasing pumps for micro-irrigation		
		6) Consulting services (Foreigners: 63 man-months; local consultants: 753		
		man-months (forecast))		
		man monus (10100as))		
(2) Project period	(2) Project period	(2) Project period		
	January 1999-June 2003 (54 months)	January 2002-October 2007 (est.) (70 months)		
<b>Lessons Learned and</b>	In small-scale, decentralized endeavors like this project, one should conduct enough discussions with the executing agency in accordance with local			
Recommendations		r, to loan end users in the land reform areas, and to conduct timely and adequate		
	discussions to determine administrative risk.			
		At the time of the project ex-post evaluation, it is difficult for a third party to conduct it alone if it does not get the assistance of the currently hired		
		ultant. In addition, it would be desirable for the evaluation to be done in conjunction with the Thai side. There needs to be a prior discussion		
		of this project (final loan disbursement) to determine what needs to be the focus		
	of evaluation, what items to be evaluated, who is to evaluate, and how			
Indicators set for use at	N/A	(1) Operation indicators		
time of ex-post evaluation		1) Number of farm ponds provided		
		2) Number of farm ponds expanded		
		3) Number of community ponds provided		
		4) Length of farm roads serviced (km) 5) Dam-based irrigation (rai)		
		6) Locations targeted for micro-irrigation		
		(2) Effect indicators		
		1) Number of networks where village leaders are active		
		2) Number of community markets established		
		3) Farmer income (in the case if it becomes an ex-post evaluation item)		
1		2) 2 states meeting (in the case if it eccomes an exposit evaluation form)		

# 1. Operation Indicator

# 1) Farm Pond (Site)

	Original	Modified	Actual
Khon Kaen	2,550	2,549	2,476
Maha Sarakam	720	605	605
Sakhon Nakhon	5,250	788	730
Mukdahan	2,194	290	278
Total	10,714	4,232	4,089

## 3) Community Pond (Site)

	Original	Modified	Actual
Khon Kaen	7	10	10
Maha Sarakam	1	1	1
Sakhon Nakhon	8	16	11
Mukdahan	4	2	1
Total	20	29	23

# 5) Water Resources Development (rai)

	Original	Modified	Actual
Khon Kaen	8,100	3,926	3,905
Maha Sarakam	0	0	0
Sakhon Nakhon	0	0	0
Mukdahan	5,700	1,593	1,552
Total	13,800	5,519	5,457

# 2) Pond Enlargement (Site)

	Original	Modified	Actual
Khon Kaen	380	400	183
Maha Sarakam	110	184	148
Sakhon Nakhon	790	65	65
Mukdahan	327	-	-
Total	1,607	649	396

## 4) Main, lateral & On-Farm Road (Km)

	Original	Modified	Actual
Khon Kaen	241	333	333
Maha Sarakam	69	86	83
Sakhon Nakhon	111	236	236
Mukdahan	83	175	175
Total	504	830	828

## 6) Micro Irrigation (Plot)

	Original (additional)	Modified	Actual
Khon Kaen	1,685	819	722
Maha Sarakam	422	248	249
Sakhon Nakhon	861	223	245
Mukdahan	275	334	407
Total	3,243	1,624	1,623

#### 2. Effect Indicator

#### 1) Utilization of Farm Pond

Excellent: Scores 75 and above / Good: Scores between 61 and 74 / Fair: Scores between 41 and 60 / To be improved: Scores below 40.

#### Farm Pond (Site)

	Original	Modified	Utilization of Farm Pond			
			Excellent	Good	Fair	To be improved
Khon Kaen	2,550	2,549				
Maha Sarakam	720	605				
Sakhon Nakhon	5,250	788				
Mukdahan	2,194	290				
Total	10,714	4,232				

## Pond Enlargement (Site)

	Original	Modified	Utilization of Enlarged Farm Pond			Pond
			Excellent	Good	Fair	To be improved
Khon Kaen	380	400				
Maha Sarakam	110	184				
Sakhon Nakhon	790	65				
Mukdahan	327	-	-	-		-
Total	1,607	649				

### 2) Number of Leader Networks

	Total Number of Tambons under the Project	Number of Tambon Level Leader Networks (2006)	Target Number of Leader Networks still active (2010)
Khon Kaen	17(16)	16	16
Maha Sarakam	5	4	4
Sakhon Nakhon	9(8)	4	4
Mukdahan	4	4	4
Total	35(33)	28	28

## 3) Number of Community Market established

	Total Number of Tambons under the Project	Number of Community Markets (2006)	Target Number of Community Markets(2010)
Khon Kaen	17(16)	1	7
Maha Sarakam	5	2	3
Sakhon Nakhon	9(8)	3	5
Mukdahan	4	2	3
Total	35(33)	8	18

Note: There were basically no beneficiaries in the two tambons of Khon Kaen to Sakon Nakhon. Actual farmlands belonged to other tambons, and so this project did not conduct activities in those areas