ODA Loan Project Ex-Post Monitoring Report

Evaluator: Yasuichiro Ishimori (Value Frontier Limited)

Project Name: South Sumatra Swamp Improvement Project, Indonesia (L/A No. IP-389)

Outline of Loan Agreement

Loan Amount/Disbursement Amount	: 5,577 million yen/4,426 million yen
Loan Agreement	: October 1992
Loan Completion	: November 1999
Ex-Post Evaluation	: FY2002
Executing Agency	: Government of the Republic of Indonesia /Directorate General of Water Resources Development bureau (DGWRD)

Project Objective

The Project aimed to increase crop production in the South Sumatra Province districts of Pulau Rimau and Air Sugihan Kiri through repairing and improving irrigation facilities, and thereby contribute to raising local farmers' living-standards and to stimulating the region's economy.

Consultants: Euroconsult (Holland), Pacific Consultants international (Japan) and others Contractors; Rinkai Construction SAC., PT. Huama Karya (Indonesia), and others

Overview of Results

Item	At Time of Ex-Post Evaluation	At Time of Ex-Post Monitoring
Effectiveness		
and Impact		,Although the cropping area, excluding rice, decreased in comparison to the ex-post evaluation, it had been switched to the more profitable crops such as palm oil and coffee. The unit yield and average production had been generally maintained until 2005, only falling in 2006 due to the adverse effects of natural disasters. In addition, a Beneficiaries Survey found that women had spent less time for drawing water and that sanitary conditions had improved.
	(1) Planned and actual cropping area (ha) by crop The table below shows the cropping area for the principal crops grown in Pulau Rimau and Air Sugihan Kiri.	(1) Actual cropping area (ha) according to crop The table below shows the cropping area for the principal crops grown in Pulau Rimau and Air Sugihan Kiri. The cropping areas for coconut and cassava both decreased due to the reasons stated below. However, planned targets for rice and corn were approximately achieved.

Table 1:Pu	ılau Rima	u					Table 1:Pu	lau Rimaı	l				
Total cropping area in Pulau Rimau: 7,527 ha (1996)							Farmers in	Pulau R	imau con	tinuously o	desire to	grow rice	and corn
\rightarrow increased to 15,045ha (2000) (83% of planned target)					However, s cassava, to 2006. Beca coconut to practically	since the tal croppin ause the high-prof stopped.	farmers q ng area fel farmers h it palm of	uitted grov l from 15,(ave contir il since 20	wing low- 045 ha in 2 nually shif 01, cultiva	profit cro 2000, to 1 fted from ation of c	ps such a 2,610 ha in low-profi coconut ha		
	Dlan		Actua	l Cropping A	(ha)				A	ctual Croppi	ing Area (ha	.)	
	Plan	1996/97	1997/98	1998/99	1999/00	2000/01		2001/02	2002/03	2003/04	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07
Rice	8,192	2,720	3,108	4,912	5,860	6,160	Rice	6,160	6,423	6,423	6,750	7,100	6,925
Corn	1,638	2,242	2,.352	3,458	3,720	4,608	Corn	4,120	4,230	4,230	4,122	3,978	4,285
Cassava	n.a	2,325	2,578	2,570	2,850	2,900	Cassava	751	662	421	306	354	140
Coconut	oconut 8,192 240 n.a. n.a. 1,000 1,377							n.a	n.a	n.a	n.a	n.a	n.a
Total	Total 18,022 7,527 8,038 10,940 13,430 15,045						Palm oil	864	924	986	1,040	1,120	1,260
							Total	11,895	12,239	12,060	12,218	12,552	12,610

Table 2: Air Sugihan Kiri

Total cropping area in Air Sugihan Kiri: 7,527 ha (1996) \rightarrow increased to 14,321 ha (2000) (128% of planned target) Source: Banyu Asin Office

Table 2: Air Sugihan Kiri

Farmers in Air Sugihan Kiri also continuously desire to cultivate rice and corn, but stopped growing the low-profitability crops of cassava and coconut. In addition, the desire for a stable income has led them to work for construction industries, or even a job-change to the food restaurant industries. As a result, farming in the district has decreased, and total cropping area fell from 14,321 ha in 2000, to 6, 538 ha in 2006. Between 2001 and 2003, some farmers switched from growing low-profit coconut to high-profit coffee. Because the farmable land available to these farmers is limited, in the past few years this switch has amounted to no more than 210 ha.

	Plan		Actual	l Cropping A	rea (ha)				A	ctual Croppi	ing Area (ha)	
		1996/97	1997/98	1998/99	1999/00	2000/01		2001/02	2002/03	2003/04	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07
Rice	5,088	2,720	2,889	3,467	4,296	3,793	Rice	2,910	3,100	3,700	3,700	3,400	2,980
Corn	1,018	2,242	3,905	3,185	4,663	4,104	Corn	3,114	3,676	3,109	3,245	2,918	2,352
Cassava	n.a	2,325	1,827	2,206	2,540	2,928	Cassava	851	873	873	841	936	882
Coconut	5,088	240	n.a	n.a	n.a	3,496	Coconut	654	464	286	286	239	114
Total	11,194	7,527	8,621	8,858	11,499	14,321	Coffee	194	205	210	210	210	210
	•	•		•		•	Total	7,723	8,318	8,178	8,282	7,703	6,538
							Source: Musi	Banyu Asi	n Office				
The table b	elow sho	ows the ur	nit yields o	of the princ	cipal crops	s in Pulau	The tables	below sh	ow the ur	nit yields c	of the prin	cipal crop	os in Pu
Rimau and Fable 3: Un Unit yield f	Air Sugil hit yield (for rice in	han Kiri. t/ha) in Pu 2000, 999	ulau Rimau % of plan	u by crop			Rimau and Table 3: Un From 2001 planned tan drought at 76% of the	Air Sugin nit yield (t to 2005, gets. The the time plan targe	an Kiri. /ha) in Pul unit yield natural fi of harvest et was achi	au Rimau b for rice wa re in 2006 Since it 1 leved in 200	by crop as betweer caused of has drastic 06. The co	n 82% to f a longer cally decr rn and cas	91% of than-us eased, c ssava yi
Rimau and Table 3: Un Unit yield f	Air Sugil iit yield (or rice in	han Kiri. t/ha) in Pu 2000, 999	ulau Rimau % of plan	u by crop	1(t/bo)		Rimau and Table 3: Ui From 2001 planned tai drought at 76% of the also decrea	Air Sugin hit yield (t to 2005, rgets. The the time plan targe sed due to	an KIII. /ha) in Pul unit yield natural fi of harvest et was achi the effect	au Rimau b for rice wa re in 2006 Since it 1 leved in 200 s of the sam	by crop as betweer caused of has drastic 06. The co ne fire.	n 82% to f a longer cally decr rn and cas	91% of than-us eased, c ssava yi
Rimau and Fable 3: Un Unit yield f	Air Sugil hit yield (for rice in Plan	han Kiri. t/ha) in Pu 2000, 999	ulau Rimau % of plan <u>Actu</u>	u by crop	l(t/ha)	2000/01	Rimau and Table 3: Un From 2001 planned tau drought at 76% of the also decrea	Air Sugin hit yield (t to 2005, gets. The the time plan targe sed due to	an KIII. /ha) in Pul unit yield natural fi of harvest et was achi the effect	au Rimau b for rice wa re in 2006 . Since it 1 eved in 200 s of the san Actual Unit	by crop as betweer caused of has drastic 06. The co ne fire. Yield (t/ha)	1 82% to f a longer cally decr rn and cas	91% of than-us eased, c ssava yie
Rimau and Fable 3: Un Unit yield f	Air Sugil hit yield (for rice in Plan 3.3	han Kiri. t/ha) in Pu 2000, 999 1996/97 2.2	ulau Rimau % of plan <u>Actu</u> 1997/98 2.5	u by crop nal Unit Yield 1998/99 2.5	l(t/ha) 1999/00 3.1	2000/01 3.2	Rimau and Table 3: Un From 2001 planned tan drought at 76% of the also decrea	Air Sugin hit yield (t to 2005, gets. The the time plan targe sed due to	an KIII. /ha) in Pul unit yield natural fi of harvest et was achi the effect 2002/03	au Rimau b for rice wa re in 2006 . Since it 1 eved in 200 s of the san Actual Unit 2003/04	by crop as between caused of has drastic 06. The co ne fire. Yield (t/ha) 2004/05	1 82% to f a longer cally decr rn and cas 2005/06	91% of than-us eased, c ssava yi 2006/07
Rimau and Fable 3: Un Unit yield f	Air Sugil hit yield (for rice in Plan 3.3 n.a	han Kiri. t/ha) in Pu 2000, 999 1996/97 2.2 2.4	Actu 1997/98 2.5 2.9	u by crop nal Unit Yield 1998/99 2.5 3.4	l(t/ha) 1999/00 3.1 4.3	2000/01 3.2 4.0	Rimau and Table 3: Un From 2001 planned tau drought at 76% of the also decrea	Air Sugin hit yield (t to 2005, gets. The the time plan targe sed due to 2001/02 3.0	an KIII. /ha) in Pul unit yield natural fi of harvest twas achi the effect 2002/03 2.9 3.7	au Rimau b for rice wa re in 2006 . Since it 1 eved in 200 s of the san Actual Unit 2003/04 2.9	by crop as between caused of has drastic 06. The co ne fire. Yield (t/ha) 2004/05 2.7	1 82% to f a longer cally decr rn and cas 2005/06 3.0 3.8	91% of than-ua eased, c ssava yi 2006/07 2.5
Rimau and Fable 3: Un Unit yield f Rice Corn Cassava	Plan 3.3 n.a n.a	han Kiri. t/ha) in Pu 2000, 999 1996/97 2.2 2.4 10.4	Actu 1997/98 2.5 2.9 10.1	u by crop nal Unit Yield 1998/99 2.5 3.4 14.0	l(t/ha) 1999/00 3.1 4.3 12.5	2000/01 3.2 4.0 14.4	Rimau and Table 3: Un From 2001 planned tau drought at 76% of the also decrea Rice Corn	Air Sugin hit yield (t to 2005, gets. The the time plan targe sed due to 2001/02 3.0 3.9	an KIII. /ha) in Pul unit yield natural fi of harvest et was achi the effect 2002/03 2.9 3.7 14.1	au Rimau b for rice wa re in 2006 . Since it 1 eved in 200 s of the san Actual Unit 2003/04 2.9 4.2	by crop as between caused of has drastic 06. The co ne fire. Yield (t/ha) 2004/05 2.7 4.1	$\begin{array}{r} 1 82\% \text{ to} \\ \text{f a longer} \\ \text{eally decr} \\ \text{rn and cas} \\ \hline 2005/06 \\ \hline 3.0 \\ \hline 3.8 \\ \hline 14.0 \\ \end{array}$	91% of than-u eased, o ssava yi 2006/07 2.5 2.2
Rimau and Table 3: Un Unit yield f Rice Corn Cassava Coconut	Plan 3.3 n.a n.a n.a	1996/97 2.2 2.4 10.4 n.a	Actu 1997/98 2.5 2.9 10.1 n.a	u by crop nal Unit Yield 1998/99 2.5 3.4 14.0 n.a	l(t/ha) 1999/00 3.1 4.3 12.5 n.a	2000/01 3.2 4.0 14.4 n.a	Rimau and Table 3: Un From 2001 planned tau drought at 76% of the also decrea Rice Corn Cassava	Air Sugin hit yield (t to 2005, gets. The the time plan targe sed due to 2001/02 3.0 3.9 13.7	an KIII. /ha) in Pul unit yield natural fi of harvest the effect 2002/03 2.9 3.7 14.1 0.8	au Rimau b for rice wi re in 2006 . Since it 1 eved in 200 s of the san Actual Unit 2003/04 2.9 4.2 13.9	by crop as between caused of has drastic 06. The co ne fire. Yield (t/ha) 2004/05 2.7 4.1 13.8	n 82% to f a longer cally decr rn and cas 2005/06 3.0 3.8 14.0	91% of than-u eased, o ssava yi 2006/07 2.5 2.2 9.6
Rimau and Table 3: Un Unit yield f Rice Corn Cassava Coconut	Plan 3.3 n.a n.a	1996/97 2.2 2.4 10.4 n.a	Actu 1997/98 2.5 2.9 10.1 n.a	u by crop al Unit Yield 1998/99 2.5 3.4 14.0 n.a	A(t/ha) 1999/00 3.1 4.3 12.5 n.a	2000/01 3.2 4.0 14.4 n.a	Rimau and Table 3: Un From 2001 planned tau drought at 76% of the also decrea Rice Corn Cassava Coconut	Air Sugin hit yield (t to 2005, gets. The the time plan targe sed due to 2001/02 3.0 3.9 13.7 0.7	an KIII. /ha) in Pul unit yield natural fi of harvest the effect 2002/03 2.9 3.7 14.1 0.8	au Rimau b for rice ware in 2006 . Since it 1 eved in 200 s of the sam Actual Unit 2003/04 2.9 4.2 13.9 0.8	by crop as between caused of has drastic 06. The co ne fire. Yield (t/ha) 2004/05 2.7 4.1 13.8 0.8	n 82% to f a longer cally decr rn and cas 2005/06 3.0 3.8 14.0 0.8	91% of than-us eased, c ssava yie 2006/07 2.5 2.2 9.6 0.6
Rimau and Fable 3: Un Unit yield f Rice Corn Cassava Coconut	Plan 3.3 n.a n.a	Image: heat fill t/ha) in Pu 2000, 999 1996/97 2.2 2.4 10.4 n.a	Actu 1997/98 2.5 2.9 10.1 n.a	u by crop al Unit Yield 1998/99 2.5 3.4 14.0 n.a	A(t/ha) 1999/00 3.1 4.3 12.5 n.a	2000/01 3.2 4.0 14.4 n.a	Rimau and Table 3: Un From 2001 planned tau drought at 76% of the also decrea Rice Corn Cassava Coconut Palm oil	Air Sugin hit yield (t to 2005, gets. The the time plan targe sed due to 2001/02 3.0 3.9 13.7 0.7 n.a	an KIII. /ha) in Pul unit yield natural fi of harvest et was achi the effect 2002/03 2.9 3.7 14.1 0.8 n.a	au Rimau b for rice ware in 2006 . Since it 1 eved in 200 s of the sam Actual Unit 2003/04 2.9 4.2 13.9 0.8 0.7	by crop as between caused of has drastic 06. The co ne fire. Yield (t/ha) 2004/05 2.7 4.1 13.8 0.8 1.8	n 82% to f a longer cally decr rn and cas 2005/06 3.0 3.8 14.0 0.8 2.4	91% of than-u eased, o ssava yi 2006/07 2.5 2.2 9.6 0.6 2.1

			Act	ual Unit Yie	ld(t/ha)					Actual Unit	Yield (t/ha)		
	Plan	1996/97	1997/98	1998/99	1999/00	2000/01	-	2001/02	2002/03	2003/04	2004/05	2005/06	2006/0
Rice	3.3	1.6	2.0	1.6	2.9	2.6	Rice	2.4	2.4	2.6	2.3	2.5	1.7
Corn	n.a	2.1	2.1	2.6	3.2	2.7	Corn	2.6	2.9	3.1	3.2	2.4	1.9
Cassava	n.a	10.1	11.2	14.2	12.4	10.8	Cassava	11.4	12.1	13.2	12.8	12.8	10.6
Coconut	n.a	n.a	n.a	n.a	n.a	n.a	Coconut	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.9
							Coffee	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3
The table in tables a Table 5: Y	below sho bove. Tearly ave	ows yearly erage produ	v average	production	based on Pulau Rima	the figures	The table b tables abov	elow show e. early avers	vs yearly a	tion (estim	oduction ba	ased on th	e figur
The table in tables a Table 5: Y Average y	below sho bove. fearly ave early rice	ows yearly erage prod	v average j uction (est on in 2000,	production imate) in F , 74% of pl	based on Pulau Rima an	the figures	The table b tables abov Table 5: Ye Rice produ targets. In 2 the effects reason. Bet trend. This based on th worsened in	elow show e. early avera ction from 2006, this of natural tween 200 was a re heir percep n 2006 duo	vs yearly a age produce a 2001 to fell to 64% fire. Corn 1 and 200 sult of far tion of it a e to the eff	tion (estim 2005 was 6 due to a production 5, cassava mers reducts a low-pr ects of nat	bduction ba bate) in Pul between 6 decrease in n also fell productio cing the c ofit crop. I ural fire.	au Rimau 7 to 79% n unit yiel in 2006 fe n was on assava creation	by cro of plan d cause or the s a decli opping n, unit
The table in tables a Table 5: Y Average y	below sho bove. fearly ave early rice	ows yearly erage prode	Actual Y	production imate) in F , 74% of pl	based on Pulau Rima an	the figures	The table b tables abov Table 5: Ye Rice produ targets. In 2 the effects reason. Bet trend. This based on th worsened in	elow show e. early avera ction fron 2006, this of natural tween 200 was a re neir percep n 2006 due	vs yearly a age produce a 2001 to fell to 64% fire. Corn 1 and 200 sult of far tion of it a te to the eff A 2002/03	tion (estim 2005 was 6 due to a productio 5, cassava mers reducts a low-pr fects of nat	ate) in Pul between 6 decrease in n also fell productio cing the c ofit crop. 1 ural fire.	ased on th au Rimau 7 to 79% n unit yiel in 2006 fe n was on assava cro (n addition (t) 2005/06	by cro of pland d cause or the s a decli opping n, unit
The table in tables a Table 5: Y Average y Rice	Plan 27,034	erage production	Actual Y 1997/98	imate) in F , 74% of pl early Production 1998/99 12,281	based on Pulau Rima an etion (t) <u>1999/00</u> 18,265	the figures au by crop. 2000/01 19,880	The table b tables abov Table 5: Ye Rice produ targets. In 2 the effects reason. Bet trend. This based on th worsened in Rice	elow show e. early avera ction from 2006, this of natural tween 200 was a re neir percep n 2006 due 2001/02 18,480	vs yearly a age produce a 2001 to fell to 64% fire. Corn 1 and 200 sult of far tion of it a e to the eff A 2002/03 18,627	tion (estim 2005 was 6 due to a productio 5, cassava mers reducts a low-pr fects of nat cctual Yearly 2003/04 18,627	bduction ba between 6 decrease in n also fell productio cing the c ofit crop. 1 ural fire. Production 2004/05 18,225	ased on th au Rimau 7 to 79% n unit yiel in 2006 fe n was on assava cro (n addition (t) 2005/06 21,300	by cro of plan d cause or the s a decli opping n, unit
The table in tables a Table 5: Y Average y Rice Corn	Plan 27,034 n.a	1996/97 5,360	Actual Y 1997/98 7,771 6,811	production imate) in F , 74% of pl fearly Product 1998/99 12,281 11,753	based on Pulau Rima an ttion (t) <u>1999/00</u> 18,265 16,020	2000/01 19,880 18,432	The table b tables abov Table 5: Ye Rice produ targets. In 2 the effects reason. Bet trend. This based on th worsened in Rice Corn	elow show e. early avera ction from 2006, this of natural tween 200 was a re neir percep n 2006 due 2001/02 18,480 16,068	vs yearly a age produce a 2001 to fell to 64% fire. Corn 1 and 200 sult of far tion of it a to the eff 2002/03 18,627 15,651	tion (estim 2005 was 6 due to a production 5, cassava mers reducts a low-pr fects of nat cetual Yearly 2003/04 18,627 17,766	ate) in Pul between 6 decrease in n also fell productio cing the c ofit crop. 1 ural fire. Production 2004/05 18,225 16,900	ased on th au Rimau 7 to 79% n unit yiel in 2006 ff n was on assava cro (n addition (t) 2005/06 21,300 15,116	by cro of plat d cause or the s a decli opping n, unit 2006/0 17,3 9,42
The table in tables a Table 5: Y Average y Rice Corn Cassava	Plan 27,034 n.a n.a	1996/97 5,920 5,360 24,233	Actual Y 1997/98 7,771 6,811 25,970	roduction imate) in F , 74% of pl (1998/99) 12,281 11,753 36,083	based on Pulau Rima an etion (t) <u>1999/00</u> 18,265 16,020 35,670	2000/01 19,880 18,432 41,660	The table b tables abov Table 5: Ye Rice produ targets. In 2 the effects reason. Bet trend. This based on th worsened in Rice Corn Cassava	elow show e. early avera ction from 2006, this of natural tween 200 was a re leir percep n 2006 due 2001/02 18,480 16,068 10,289	vs yearly a age produce a 2001 to fell to 64% fire. Corn 1 and 200 sult of far tion of it a to the eff 2002/03 18,627 15,651 9,334	tion (estim 2005 was 6 due to a production 5, cassava mers reduces a low-pr fects of nat cetual Yearly 2003/04 18,627 17,766 5,852	bduction ba hate) in Pul between 6 decrease in n also fell production cing the c ofit crop. 1 ural fire. Production 2004/05 18,225 16,900 4,223	ased on th au Rimau 7 to 79% n unit yiel in 2006 fe n was on assava cro (n addition (t) 2005/06 21,300 15,116 4,956	by cro of plai d cause or the s a decli opping n, unit y 17,3 9,42 1,34
The table in tables a Table 5: Y Average y Rice Corn Cassava Coconut	below sho bove. fearly ave early rice Plan 27,034 n.a n.a n.a	1996/97 5,920 5,360 24,233 n.a.	Actual Y 1997/98 7,771 6,811 25,970 n.a.	roduction imate) in F , 74% of pl 1998/99 12,281 11,753 36,083 n.a.	based on Pulau Rima an etion (t) <u>1999/00</u> 18,265 16,020 35,670 n.a.	2000/01 19,880 18,432 41,660 n.a.	The table b tables abov Table 5: Ye Rice produ targets. In 2 the effects reason. Bet trend. This based on th worsened in Rice Corn Cassava Coconut	elow show e. early avera ction from 2006, this of natural tween 200 was a re neir percep n 2006 due 2001/02 18,480 16,068 10,289 n.a.	vs yearly a age produce a 2001 to fell to 64% fire. Corn 1 and 200 sult of far tion of it a e to the eff A 2002/03 18,627 15,651 9,334 n.a.	tion (estim 2005 was 6 due to a productio 5, cassava mers reduces a low-pr fects of nat cetual Yearly 2003/04 18,627 17,766 5,852 n.a.	bduction ba hate) in Pul between 6 decrease in n also fell production cing the c ofit crop. I ural fire. Production 2004/05 18,225 16,900 4,223 n.a.	ased on th au Rimau 7 to 79% n unit yiel in 2006 fe n was on assava cro (n addition (t) 2005/06 21,300 15,116 4,956 n.a.	by cro of plai d cause or the s a decli opping n, unit <u>2006/0</u> 17,3 9,42 1,34 n.a

Rice production in 2000, 59% of plan								ase in farm get. This ase in farm e effect of d target. For the same to the re- luced the of to decreas luce to a sli	Air Sugiha n 2001 to was becau mers work natural fir The produ- ie reasons. easons abo cropping a ed for the ght fall in	in Kiri (est 2005 was se of a de ing away e in 2006 inction of ove, produ rea of this same reas unit yield.	imate) by c as betwee crease in t from hom meant pro- corn betw action of s low-profi son. Coffe	erop. n 42 to 5 the croppin le and cha duction fel veen 2001 cassava do it crop. Pr e producti	57% of the ng area du nging job Il to 30% of and 200 ecreased a oduction of on in 200
	Plan		Act	ual Productio	on (t)				А	ctual Yearly	Production	(t)	
		1996/97	1997/98	1998/99	1999/00	2000/01		2001/02	2002/03	2003/04	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07
Rice	16,790	3,902	5,874	5,634	12,591	9,918	Rice	6,984	7,440	9,620	8,510	8,500	5,066
Corn	n.a	7,222	8,338	8,374	15,099	11,019	Corn	8,096	10,660	9,638	10,384	7,003	4,469
Cassava	n.a	25,239	20,374	31,374	31,596	31,659	Cassava	9,701	10,563	11,524	10,765	11,981	9,349
Coconut	n.a	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	Coconut	523	371	200	229	191	103
							Coffee	78	82	84	84	84	63
•) Collec SF are no	tion rates	s for irriga ed in eithe	ation servio r district.	ce fees (ISI	7)		(4) Collecti The Indone ISF. Howe promote the this, the Pa does not co the future, i	on rates for estan gover over, the g e operationalembang ollect ISF in order to	or irrigation rnment do governmen n and mai DOR (Re in either d safeguard	n service f es not imp at recomm ntenance l gional Of istrict, nor the farmer	ees (ISF) ose a lega lends the by farmers fice of Di do they p rs' standar	l obligatio collection s themselv rectorate lan to coll ds of livin	n to colle of ISF t res. Despi of Swamp ect them i g.
 (5) EIRR: (Calculati following The ecannual di The e (defined beneficia) 	7.4% on cond assumpt conomic sbursem conomic as bene ries.	litions) E ions; costs we ent and th benefit fit) obtai	EIRR was re applied he planned s were t ned from	calculate d by com disbursen he farmer the inter	ed accord bining th nent of oth rs' incom view sur	ing to the he project's her plans, he increase vey of the	 (5) EIRR: N (Calculation assumption The exconstrutive irrigation The econstruction of the econstruc	Negative n conditio s; conomic lection cost on facilitie onomic be nefit) of ciaries. EI	ns) EIRR costs we ts and the es enefits wer ptained f RR was ne	was calcul re applied operation e the farm from the gative as t	ated accord d by cord and main ners' incord intervie the econord	rding to th mbining to ntenance c me increa w surve nic benefit	e followin the project costs of the se (define y of the , defined a

Impact	 (1) Impact on the living environment A beneficiary survey showed that approximately 75% of respondents believed that the condition of the facilities provided by this project was "good." Since the domestic-use water supply facility was being used to supply drinking water, women came to spend less time drawing water, and that sanitary conditions improved 	(1) Impact on the living environment According to a beneficiary survey, 76% of respondents considered the facilities provided by the project "needed repairs." Regarding the domestic-use water supply facility (a large container placed beneath a water spout to capture and collect rain water), 99% of respondents said that that it had contributed to reducing the time women spent drawing water and to improving sanitary conditions.
	 (2) Impact on the environment 1. Salt accumulated in the soil 2. A report on an additional environmental monitoring survey was planned to be submitted to the project operation and maintenance institution. 3. Effect on plant life from oxidized pyrite and soil pollution resulting from construction and repair work 	 (2) Impact on the environment 1. In both Pulau Rimau and Air Sugihan Kiri, water-regulating gates for the primary, secondary, and tertiary canals were completely inoperable due to rust damage. As a result, during high tide in the dry season—particularly during September and October—seawater flowed into the irrigated land and caused salt damage. However, it has not become a chronic problem as the large quantities of rain falling during the rainy season, which starts from January, acts to wash away the accumulated salt. 2. A report on the environment monitoring survey was not submitted to the relevant authorities because it has a low priority for them. 3. The effect of the construction and repair work on plant-life has not been ascertained.
Sustainability		The problems in organizational structure and financial status identified at the time of ex-post evaluation are still evident. Due to these problems, the adequate operation and maintenance of both facilities and equipment by the project has become harder and. the sustainability of this project is concerned.
	(1) Technical capacity : Uncertain On the other hand, operation and maintenance policy was determined in consultation with the farmers. Although an operation and maintenance training program was conducted in cooperation with local universities, additional training was desirable since not all the local community had received sufficient instruction in technology for operation and maintenance. However, this additional training has not been conducted.	(1) Technical capacity: The Palembang DOR, which operates and maintains the primary and secondary canals and bridges, and the farmers, who operate and maintain the tertiary canal, believe that they have no problems with their technical capacities, but it lacks of objective evidence.
	(2) Operation and maintenance system: Water use associations (WUAs), which are responsible for management and operation and maintenance, are hoped to be strengthened.	(2) Operation and maintenance system: Regarding the yearly management and operation and maintenance of the project, between 1998

Pulau Rimau	Target 102	2000/01 40 associations	Achievement Rate 39%	and 2000—the period prior to the decentralization of power to regional governments—the government allocated a budget and a support system necessary for sustainability. Decentralization to the regions saw the responsibility for management, and operations and maintenance transferred to the South Sumatra Province Regional Government for the
Air Sugihan Kiri	associations 110 associations	42 associations	38%	period of 2001 to 2004. The support system deteriorated as the government no longer allocated a budget. In addition, so far the WUAs has conducted no special management or operation and maintenance activities except for group meetings for the purpose of information exchange. Since they have not collected ISF and so have had no funding for their operations, farmers were unable to carry out operation, maintenance, and other activities because many were working away from home or had changed jobs.
				2006/07Achievement RatePulau Rimau40 Associations39%Air Sugihan Kiri42 Associations38%
				* Farmer numbers are unlikely to increase, due to reasons such as farmers working away from home. Consequently, expectations are low that WUA numbers, the achievement rate, will increase.
(3) Financial s considerably less necessary amoun Sugihan Kiri. In a is low, the operati- less than 20% of t	tatus: The gov s than the amou t), at 18.4% for addition, because ion and maintenan the actual required	vernment budget unt required for Pulau Rimau an the expected coll nce costs budgets d amount.	allocation was the project (the d 13.9% for Air ection rate of ISF in both districts is	(3) Financial Status: The government budget allocated to the project has been considerably less than the amount required (the necessary amount). No government budget was allocated to Pulau Rimau between 2001 and 2004, and in 2005 and 2006 it was 4% and 30% respectively of the necessary amount. Similarly, in Air Sugihan Kiri, no government budget was allocated between 2001 and 2004, and in 2005 and 2006 it was 7% and 14% respectively of the necessary amount. Therefore, the actual budget for operation and maintenance has been less than 30% of the necessary amount. As a result, of the 26 bridges in both districts that cross the primary canals, as of the present, six have been left in a state of disrepair. In addition, due to the above stated reasons, the WUA have not conducted any special management and operation and maintenance activities. Consequently, some of the tertiary canal is overgrown with weeds, and is unable to maintain the necessary volume of water flow for irrigation.
				(4) The operation and maintenance situation
(4) The operation	and maintenance	situation		1. The Palembang DOR still operates and maintains the primary and secondary canals. But due to the previously stated chronic shortage of funds it cannot conduct antimal ensuring and unitary and maintains.
1. The primary a	nd secondary can	als are operated a	nd maintained by	runds, it cannot conduct optimal operation and maintenance. The canals

the Palembang DOR.	are unable to maintain the necessary volume of water-flow for irrigation due to the accumulation of mud flowing in from the river. For example, water depth at one location in the primary canal was measured at one meter, despite an original depth of four meters.
2. The tertiary canal is operated and maintained by the WUAs.	2. The farmers (the WUAs) operate and maintain the tertiary canal. But adequate operation and maintenance cannot be conducted due to the previously stated lack of operational budget and manpower. Some of the tertiary canal is overgrown with weeds and unable to maintain the necessary volume of water flow for irrigation.
3. Several bridges that cross the primary canal have been damaged by seawater. However, some had already been repaired, and the remainder was planned for repair by the beginning of 2001.	3. The Palembang DOR conducts operation and maintenance of bridges constructed across the primary canal. But it cannot conduct adequate operation and maintenance due to the previously stated chronic shortage of funds. Currently, of the 26 bridges in both districts, six have been left in a state of disrepair and cause inconvenience to travel. However, they are scheduled for repair when funds become available.
4. Adequate operation and maintenance of the water-regulating gates had not been conducted.	4. The Palembang DOR operates and maintains the primary and secondary canal water-regulating gates, while the farmers (the WUAs) operate and maintain those at the tertiary canal. But because of the previously stated reasons, adequate operation and maintenance had not been conducted, and more than 90% of these gates have been rendered inoperable by rust damage. As a result, the volume of water-flow for irrigation cannot be controlled.
	For these reasons, significant concerns remain regarding; the organizational structure of operation and maintenance institution, the financial status, and the operation and maintenance conditions of the irrigation facilities. The factors jeopardize the sustainability of the project, and are expected to have an adverse effect on both effectiveness and impact in the future.

Lessons Learned, Recommendat ions, Information Resources and Monitoring Methods (1) Follow up on lessons learned and recommend- ations made in ex-post evaluation report or other evaluations conducted after the ex-post evaluation (2) Lessons learned at the time of ex-post	 In order to achieve the project goals, coordination between the relevant government agencies and other bodies is essential. There has been insufficient coordination between the SSSIP and the SSSTCDP during this project on the scheduling of coconut planting. The result has been coconut cultivation continually falling beneath the planned target. All relevant government bodies and institutions must coordinate sufficiently and develop a better working environment in order to promote mutual understanding and to raise awareness of the tasks assigned to each institution. These measures will enable the joint goals of the project to be realized. It is also vital an O&M training program is conducted from the perspective of project sustainability and the potential for independent development. (The project-constructed canals function as both irrigation and drainage channels, making them considerably more complicated than conventional irrigation 	To maintain and improve the project's yearly production targets, the policy support for farmers' shift away from low-profit crops such as cassava and coconut is needed in the future. In addition, some irrigation facilities should be rehabilitated. Furthermore, to increase the sustainability, it is also important to improve the operation and maintenance system and financial status (1) Recommendations for the present, seven years after the completion of the project. Recommendations for the WUAs, the Palembang DOR, and the Ministry of Public Works. To maintain and improve the project's yearly production targets, the policy support for farmers' shift away from low-profit crops such as cassava and coconut is needed in the future. In addition, in a rice-producing region where irrigation plays a vitally important role, the functions of some of the irrigation facilities need to be repaired – particularly both mud-dredging and replacing the rust-damaged water-regulating gates in the primary and secondary canals. To increase the sustainability of this project, it is essential that the organizational structure for operation and maintenance and
ex-post monitoring and recommenda tions for securing sustainability	considerably more complicated than conventional irrigation facilities. As a result, substantial expertise and abilities are required for their operation and management.)	the organizational structure for operation and maintenance and the financial status are improved. To achieve this, the Palembang DOR has to secure the necessary budget from Ministry of Public Works and has to strengthen the organizational structure and the financial status to establish divisions which support farmers for switching crops, as well as to secure staff necessary for conducing operations. Further, the WUA should replace its deliberate policy of not collecting ISF with a system for collecting fees solely for the operation and maintenance of equipment. This will help strengthen the organizational structure, such as securing necessary operation and maintenance staff, and also serve to strengthen the financial status.