Palestine Authority

FY 2017 Ex-Post Evaluation of Japanese Grant Aid Project "The Jericho Wastewater Collection, Treatment System and Reuse Project"

External Evaluator: Juichi Inada, Senshu University

0. Summary

The objective of the project is to construct wastewater treatment facilities and reuse the treated wastewater for Jericho Municipality and its surrounding area, which will extend wastewater treatment services and keep water resources, and thus improve sanitary conditions and contribute to the development in the district.

The project was consistent with the development policy and the development needs of Palestine and Japan's ODA policy toward Palestine. However, at the time of project planning, there was a problem in the plan regarding the estimation of the amount of wastewater treatment and the examination of the feasibility of the components borne by the Palestinian side. Therefore, its Relevance is fair.

The project cost of the Japanese portion was the same as planned. Nevertheless, since the project period exceeded the planned period (106.5%), Efficiency of the project is fair.

The target year for generating the development effects was set for 2020. Yet, at the end of April 2018 (3 years and 10 months after the completion of the project), the achievement rates of the main indicators of effectiveness, such as the wastewater treatment amount and reuse water amount, were less than 50% of the planned target values for 2020 (written in Ex-ante Evaluation Report). Therefore, it is highly probable that the target values cannot be considered as achieved. However, it is difficult to estimate the exact target values at the time of evaluation in 2018, and to find clear evidence that the project effects were lower than the target. Therefore, the project Effectiveness is fair. The positive impacts of the project are mostly observed. Therefore, this project has achieved its objectives to some extent, and Effectiveness and Impacts of the project are fair.

As to the operation and management of the project, there are no major problems in terms of the organizational and technical aspects, but there are some problems regarding the financial aspect. Therefore, Sustainability of the project effects is fair.

In light of the above, this project is evaluated to be unsatisfactory.

1. Project Outline



Project Location



Constructed Waste Water Treatment Facility

1.1 Background

The Jericho-Jordan Valley District is located in the Great Rift Valley. Owing to its topographical characteristics, domestic sewage generated in urban areas had no place to discharge to other than river basins and was forced to remain within the district. Since there were no appropriate wastewater treatment facilities available in this area, serious contamination of the groundwater vein, which was the sole water resource for the water supply system of the Jericho Municipality, had been found in January 2010. In addition, from the viewpoint of effective use of a limited water resource, treated wastewater was expected to be considered as a new water resource. Agricultural activities had been prospected in this area and construction of an "Agro-Industrial Park" was planned as a core project in the "Corridor for Peace and Prosperity" that is being promoted by the Government of Japan. Wastewater generated in the park was also planned to be treated.

Based on these circumstances, the Palestinian Interim Self-Government Authority (hereafter referred to as the Palestinian Authority) requested the Government of Japan to construct the facilities for a wastewater collection and treatment system in Jericho Municipality located in the West Bank Area of the Jordan River under the Japanese Grant Aid Assistance in August 2008. The outline of the request was to construct a wastewater treatment plant, trunk line of waste water, and sewer pipelines for Jericho Municipality and its surrounding area (Nwaeima, Duyuk, Ain Sultan Refugee Camp, Aqbet Jaber Refugee Camp). In response to the request, the Government of Japan concluded the Grant Aid Agreement to construct wastewater treatment facilities and to reuse the treated wastewater in order to improve water and sanitary conditions in Jericho Municipality and its surrounding area in February 2011.

1.2 Project Outline

The project objective was written in the ex-ante evaluation as "to construct wastewater treatment facilities and to reuse the treated wastewater for Jericho Municipality and its surrounding area, which will improve sanitary conditions and keep water resources, and thus contribute to the development in the district."

Since "the amount of treated wastewater" and other measures were listed as "quantitative indicators" in the Ex-ante Evaluation, "to extend wastewater treatment services in the district" is added for outcome. On the other hand, "to improve sanitary conditions" was written as "a qualitative effect" in the Ex-ante Evaluation, but this should be regarded as an "impact" of the project. Therefore, the project objectives are revised as follows:

The objective of the project is to construct wastewater treatment facilities and to reuse the treated wastewater for Jericho Municipality and its surrounding area, through which to extend wastewater treatment services and keep water resources, thus to improve sanitary conditions and contribute to the development in the district.

Grant Limit /Actual Grant Amount	2,650 million yen / 2,650million yen					
Exchange of Notes Date/Grant	February 2011 / February 2011					
Agreement Date						
Executing Agency	Palestinian Water Authority (PWA)					
Project Completion	June 2014					
Main Contractor	Dai Nippon Construction, Co., Ltd.					
Main Consultant	N. J. S. Consultants, Co. Ltd.					
Preparatory Survey	October 2010~March 2011					
Related Projects	 Technical Assistance and Capacity Building Project for the Jericho Sanitation (December 2012-March 2018) UNRWA (supported by Japanese Grant Aid), Construction of Sewerage System in Aqbet Jaber Palestinian Refugees' Camp: Package No.1 (2017), Nos.2-4 (2018~) USAID, Jericho Collection System Expansion: Phase 1A (November 2014~November 2015), 1B (October 2017~March 2019) 					

2. Outline of the Evaluation Survey

2.1 External Evaluator

Juichi Inada, Senshu University

2.2 Duration of Evaluation Study

This evaluation study was conducted with the following schedule. Duration of the Study: October, 2017 – January, 2019 Duration of the Field Study: January 28, 2018-February 6, and April 26, 2018-May 5, 2018

2.3 Constraints during the Evaluation Study

The target year of the effective indicators was 2020, 6 years after the completion of the project. The project was completed in June 2014 and the ex-post evaluation was conducted around the mid-term between the project completion and the target year. In the ex-post evaluation, the operation and effective indicators were checked in early 2018 and analyzed based on the actual situation of generating effects at the time of evaluation and the projective situation of generating effects for the target year of 2020.

3. Results of the Evaluation (Overall Rating: D¹)

3.1 Relevance (Rating: 2^2)

3.1.1 Consistency with the Development Plan of Palestine

At the time of planning, improvement of the water environment, including water supply and wastewater treatment, to improve the living environment was raised as a prioritized agenda in the *Palestine Reconstruction and Development Plan 2008-2010* of the Palestine Authority. Therefore, the project was consistent with the national plan. Improvement of the water supply and wastewater treatment were also mentioned as a core policy agenda in *National Development Plan 2011-2013*.

Provision of public services, including water supply and wastewater treatment, was focused in *National Development Plan 2014-2016*,³ and the water supply and wastewater treatment are also prioritized in the new *National Development Plan 2017-2022*.⁴

Thus, the project is consistent with Palestinian development policy, both at the time of planning and at the time of ex-post evaluation.

¹ A: highly satisfactory; B: satisfactory; C: partially satisfactory; D: unsatisfactory

² (3) : high, (2) : fair, (1) : low

 $^{^{3}\} http://planipolis.iiep.unesco.org/sites/planipolis/files/ressources/palestine_ndp_state_final.pdf\ (2018.7.24)$

⁴ <u>https://ims.undg.org/downloadFile/4e3c5a2af50af000cbfd</u> (2018.7.24)

3.1.2 Consistency with the Development Needs of Palestine

At the time of planning in January 2010, the contamination of the groundwater vein was found to be serious in Jericho Municipality, and the improvement of wastewater treatment was an urgent issue.⁵ The portion of the population who has the access to the public wastewater treatment service in the entire area of the West Bank of Palestine was limited to 52.1% in 2009 (based on the statistics of the Central Statistical Bureau of Palestine). Therefore, the Palestinian Water Authority (hereinafter referred to as PWA) formulated the *Strategy for the Water and Wastewater Sector (2011-2013)*, including four strategic goals: strengthening of governance and the provision of legal institution; integrated management of the water sector to secure water resources; integrated management of wastewater; and efficient management of organizations in the water and wastewater sectors.

In particular, there was no wastewater treatment service in Jericho Municipality. Diffusion of the wastewater network and its efficient management in Jericho Municipality were mentioned in the *Strategy for the Water and Wastewater Sector (2011-2013)* as important issues, and continue to be raised as important issues in the new *National Water Policy for Palestine 2017-2032*. Thus, the project is consistent with development needs, both at the time of planning and at the time of ex-post evaluation.

Compared with other donors' similar assistance in the water sector in other areas, such as Germany's (KfW⁶ and GIZ⁷) support of wastewater treatment in Nablus and Al-Bireh since 2000, a distinguishing characteristic of this project in Jericho is an additional component of reuse of wastewater, which responds to the needs of agriculture because water is a limited resource in Jericho and its surrounding area.

The United States Agency for International Development (USAID) started its project (1A) of constructing branch sewer pipelines in Jericho Municipality in July 2014, and has continued with the second phase of its project (1B) since October 2017. USAID's (1A, 1B) projects of constructing branch sewer pipelines in Jericho have a complementary relationship with JICA's project of constructing a wastewater treatment plant and a main trunk sewer network in Jericho.

3.1.3 Consistency with Japan's ODA Policy

The Japanese government has been selectively providing several assistance projects (Technical Cooperation Projects, development study, and Grant Aid to Jericho Municipality), as a base of the "Corridor for Peace and Prosperity."⁸ The Japanese government advocated to

⁵ Based on Ex-ante Evaluation Table.

⁶ Kreditanstalt für Wiederaufbau ("Reconstruction Credit Institute").

 ⁷ Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit ("German Corporation for International Cooperation").
 ⁸ "Corridor for Peace and Prosperity" is an initiative that was formulated in July 2006 when Prime Minister Koizumi

⁽at that time) visited Palestine and is being promoted by the government of Japan. It advocates long-term efforts for promoting socio-economic development of Jordan Valley area and economic independence of Palestine by regional

strengthen the socio-economic infrastructure in Jordan Valley area within the initiative of the "Corridor for Peace and Prosperity" in July 2006. Jericho is a core target area of the initiative and the selection of the location of the project is consistent with the initiative.

At the "Japan-Palestine High Level Meeting" in July 2010, the water and wastewater sectors were core sectors among 7 prioritized sectors agreed upon for the 3 years subsequent to the meeting.. The water sector was also mentioned in JICA's Rolling Plan as a "program for improvement of water supply and sanitation services," which is one of the prioritized areas of "improvement of living infrastructure." Thus, the project is consistent with Japan's ODA policy.

The Japanese government promoted the dissemination of Japanese advanced technologies in the environment and energy sectors to developing countries by using the supplemental budget of FY2010. This project was a part of the efforts toward promoting Japanese technology for the environment, and a part of assistance for responding to climate change that was announced by Japanese government in December 2009.

3.1.4 Appropriateness of the Project Plan and Approach

2020 was set as the target year because it was estimated to take about 6 years for the wastewater treatment facility to generate effects. It was a normal target and the same as other wastewater treatment projects.

On the other hand, at the time of planning, the target area of the project included the surrounding two local government units (LGUs), Nwaeima and Duyuk, and two refugee camps, Ain Sultan and Aqbet Jaber, in addition to the Jericho Municipality. There were plans to improve sewer networks in those areas at the time of planning of the project, however, all plans in those areas have not been implemented due to lack of budgetary appropriation during the project period (by June 2014). At the time of ex-post evaluation in April 2018, Aqbet Jaber Refugee Camp was the only area where construction of sewer pipelines was in progress.⁹

Therefore, in the calculation of the target value of the project, the estimate of the population in the target area, pollutant load of BOD (per day), and the wastewater generation rate were revised (downsized) in December 2017 as shown in the report of "The re-examination of the quantitative effect indicators based on the actual figures in 2017" written by the consultants of "Technical Assistance and Capacity Building Project for the Jericho Sanitation.". The above re-examination paper revised those values in December 2017 and estimated them as follows; the population in the target area for 41,541 persons, pollutant load of BOD per day for 2,486kg/day, and wastewater generation amount for 3,930m³/day, etc. Moreover, wastewater generation rate

cooperation among Palestine, Israel, Jordan and Japan.

⁹ Aqbet Jaber Refugee Camp is under the jurisdiction of UNRWA, but UNRWA did not have sufficient funds for construction of wastewater network in the camp. The Japanese government then provided grant aid to UNRWA for its project of improving wastewater pipelines, as mentioned in the section of "4.2. JICA's Contribution and Role."

was revised to 50% from 70% in the ex-ante evaluation, based on the actual values at that time.

The Jericho Municipality did not have a *Strategic Management Plan* of wastewater services at the time of the project's start, but it formulated the first draft of the *Strategic Management Plan* of wastewater service in 2014 as a result of the "Technical Assistance and Capacity Building Project for Jericho Sanitation" supplemented to this Grant Aid project. In parallel with the re-examination of the target values by the consultants, Jericho Municipality set its own more realistic target values of wastewater treatment amount in July 2017 in its *Strategic Business Plan 2014-2020 (for Managing Jericho Sewerage System)*. Table 1 shows the comparison between the projected figures at the time of planning in the Ex-ante Evaluation Report and the values written in the *Strategic Business Plan 2014-2020* of Jericho Municipality. In the plan, the target figure of wastewater treatment amount in 2020 was 3,363m³/day, and the target figure of reuse water amount was 2,529m³/day.

und bit diegte	Business I tent 2011 2020	
	2020 target values at the	2020 target values in Strategic
	time of planning in Ex-ante	Business Plan 2014-2020 of the
	Evaluation Report	Jericho Municipality
Estimated Population of	52,800	39,734
the Target Area		(Jericho Municipality 25,932
		+Aqbet Jaber Refugee Camp 13,802)
Amount of Wastewater	6,540	3,363
Treatment (m ³ /day)		
Amount of Reuse Water	6,540	2,529
(m^3/day)		

Table 1. The Comparison between the Target Values in Ex-ante Evaluation Report and *Strategic Business Plan 2014-2020*

As shown in the report of re-examination in 2017, number of the population in the target area was overestimated at the time of planning. Table 2 shows several different statistics of population in the project's target area. The estimation of the population in the target area written in the Preparatory Survey Report of 2011 was based on the value proposed by the PWA in 2010. The report estimated that the population would increase 1% annually after 2008. However, the most realistic estimate of the population in the target area in 2020 is 34,782, which is a combined figure of 25,932 in the Jericho Municipality area, as written in the new Strategic Business Plan of the Jericho Municipality, and 8,850 in Aqbet Jaber Refugee Camp which was provided by the United Nations Relief and Works Agency (UNRWA). This value (34,782) is about 66% of 52,764, which was the original estimated population in the target area in 2020 at the time of planning.

Because the surrounding two LGUs of Nwaeima and Duyuk and Ain Sultan Refugee Camp are geologically close to Jericho Municipality and wastewater generated in those areas run into Jericho City by natural slope, there were plans to improve sewer networks in those areas at the time of planning of the project, and the project's target population and wastewater amount were calculated based on the assumption that the plans to improve sewer networks in those areas would be implemented by the Palestinian side. Although the PWA, counterpart of the project, still has the plans to improve sewer networks in those surrounding LGUs and refugee camps in the future, the substantial implementing agency of the project was limited to the Jericho Municipality during the project period. Considering the fact that both the Palestine Authority and the UNRWA were facing extremely tight budgetary situations at the time of planning, there were some problems at the time of planning in terms of the judgment of the feasibility of the plans to improve sewer networks in the surrounding LGUs and refugee camps.]

Table 2. Several Different Population Statistics & Estimates

		1	
Population	2010	2017	2020
Preparatory Survey	39,983	n.a.	52,764
(2011)	(Jericho 25,895)		(Jericho 32,042)
Re-examination in	33,010	41,541	44,766
2017 (*)	(Jericho 19,696)	(Jericho 25,420)	(Jericho 27,482)
Strategic Business	N.A.	37,672	39,734
Plan of Jericho		(Jericho 23,870)	(Jericho 25,932**)
Municipality		(Refugee Camps 13,802)	(Refugee Camps 13,802)
Population Statistics of	Jericho 19,696	Jericho 23,220	N.A.
PA Statistical Bureau	Refugee Camps 11,09	Refugee Camps 13,082	
	6	(statistics of 2016)	
Statistics of UNRWA	N.A.	Aqbet Jaber 8,792	Aqbet Jaber 8,850
(***)		Ain Sultan 2.762	Ain Sultan NA

(Note)* Report written by the consultants of the "Technical Assistance and Capacity Building Project for the Jericho Sanitation" (December 2017). Target population in the report still included the population of 2 refugee camps and 2 LGUs of Nwaima and Duyuk.

**Calculation based on 3% annual increase. The figures of "Refugee Camps" include population of Aqbet Jaber and Ain Sultan.

***Statistics of UNRWA was directly received from UNRWA during the local survey.



Chart 1. Location Map of the Project

< Check Points of Project Evaluation in Conflict-Affected Country/Area >

Palestine is under constant tension in its relationship with Israel, and has unstable factors in its political, societal, and security situations. On the other hand, the Palestinian Authority is in the process of constructing a state framework. Therefore, the following items were analyzed based on JICA's *Guidelines of Project Evaluation of Conflict-affected Country/Area*.

① Timing (Political and Policy Contribution): Prime Minister (at that time) Koizumi proposed the initiative "Corridor for Peace and Prosperity" to promote economic development of the Jordan Valley through collaboration among four actors, namely Japan, Israel, Palestine, and Jordan in July 2006. Subsequently, when President Mahmoud Abbas visited Japan in February 2010, the Japanese government pledged to provide long-term support for the building of Palestinian state. The project which targets Jericho in the center of Jordan Valley began at this time, and was consistent with Japanese diplomatic policy in the region.

⁽Source) JICA document

- ② Activities (Selection of Beneficiary Area and Groups): In the Jericho-Jordan Valley District, domestic sewage generated in urban areas had no place to discharge other than river basins due to local topographical characteristics. Israel was also concerned about contamination of the soil and groundwater, which might affect the Israeli area. Therefore, proper treatment of wastewater was also regarded as an important agenda for Israel. Although assistance to Palestine requires prior consultation and acceptance with Israel, this project was able to be accepted by Israel as a project that benefits Israel as well as Palestine.
- ③ Implementation System: Since there were two refugee camps in the areas surrounding Jericho Municipality, the project was expected to benefit them by improving the living environment of the refugees. On the other hand, construction of a wastewater network in refugee camps is under the jurisdiction of UNRWA, and it was not always easy to coordinate the project with the Palestinian Authority and UNRWA.

This project has been highly consistent with the Palestine's development plan and development needs as well as Japan's ODA policy. However, some problems have been observed in terms of the project planning. Therefore, its Relevance is fair. (2)

3.2 Efficiency (Rating: 2)

3.2.1 Project Outputs

Details of the construction works and procured equipment of the project are as follows¹⁰:

(I)Wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) (Planned Capacity 9,800m³/day): Specifications of the facility are as follows: Waste Receiving Tank for Vacuum Trucks and Equipment, Grit Chamber and Equipment, Reactor (2 lines) and Equipment, 2 Final Clarifier and Equipment, Return-Sludge Pump House and Equipment, Disinfection Tank, Chlorine House and Equipment, Irrigation Water Tank, Sludge Thickener, Thickened-Sludge Pump House and Equipment, Sludge-Drying Bed, Electric Power Receiving Tower, Electric Lead-in Facilities, Blower, Electric Room, Electrical Equipment.

②Solar Power Panel (Output 100kW)

③Sewer Pipes (Trunk Sewer, Branch Sewer): Original Design: 25.4km×Dia. 200-700mm, Material: uPVC Pipe (under Dia. 400mm), Concrete Pipe (over Dia. 500mm)

(4) Water Quality Analysis Equipment

Construction works of the Japanese portion were implemented mostly as planned. Before the completion of the project in June 2014, additional sewer pipes for 4.3km (4.3km × Dia. 200-315mm, 899 Connection pit) were constructed in an area with high necessity, based on the change of scope.

¹⁰ Based on the document provided by JICA.

	Facilities and Equipment	Plan	Actual
	Waste Receiving Tank for		No major change
W	Vacuum Trucks		
W	Grit Chamber		No major change
Т	Reactor, Clarifier		No major change
Р	Chlorine Disinfection Tank		No major change
	Gravity Sludge Thickener		No major change
	Sludge-Drying Bed		No major change
	Electrical Equipment		No major change
Sol	ar Panel		As planned
Sev	ver Pipes (Trunk Sewer,	$25.4 \text{ km} \times$	25.27 km: as planned
Bra	nch Sewer)	Dia. 200-700mm	* Additional Pipes : 4.39km × Dia.
			200-315mm (29.66 km in total)
Wa	ter Quality Analysis Equipment		No major change

Table 3. Plan and Actual Situations of the Japanese Portion

Table 4 shows the details of the Palestinian portion and the situations of implementation¹¹.

Among the Palestinian portion, facilities for Wastewater Treatment Plant were implemented as planned, with additional components and a building for security guards. On the other hand, construction works of branch sewer pipelines and connection pits to connect the pipes to houses were delayed and not implemented during the project period, mainly because of the lack of budget of the Palestinian Authority.

Table 4. Plan and Actual Situations of the Palestinian Portion

	Facilities and Equipment	Plan	Actual
W	Land Acquisition for WWTP	13ha	As planned
W	Fences of WWTP	1,710m	2.2km
Т	Construction of Access Road	1,380m	1,5km (about a 1-year delay,
Р			completed in 2015)
	Electricity Transmission Line	About 800m	As planned
	Water Pipes	1km	1,5km
	Building for Security Guards		30 m ²
		(800 thousand US\$ in	total, from PA Budget)
Construction of Branch Sewer		About 16km	This has not been implemented
Pipe	es		during the project period.
Connection Pits		2300 houses	This has not been implemented
			during the project period.

3.2.2 Project Inputs

3.2.2.1 Project Cost

Project cost on the Japanese side was 2,650 million yen at the time of planning, and the final project cost was 2,650 million yen, which was the same as planned (100%).

¹¹ Based on "Preparatory Survey Report".

At the time of planning, project cost for the Palestinian side was estimated at 272 million yen, including 43.2 million yen for the facilities of the wastewater treatment plant, 192.4 million yen for sewer pipes, 37 million yen for connection pits, and a bank commission charge, etc. Excepting the components of wastewater treatment plant, the construction works of the Palestinian side were not completed at the time of evaluation (in February 2018), and the total cost for the Palestinian side could not be clarified. After the completion of the construction works by the Japanese side in 2014, construction works of the Palestinian side are still in the process of implementation as a project of UNRWA in Aqbet Jaber Refugee Camp supported by Japanese Government Grant Aid, a USAID project in Jericho, and a project of Jericho Municipality using 110 million US\$ budget from the Ministry of Finance of Palestine.

Because the actual project cost for the Palestinian side cannot be examined, "efficiency" is evaluated based on the project cost for the Japanese side only.

3.2.2.2 Project Period

At the time of planning, the project period was 31 months, from September 2011 (start of the D/D) to March 2014,, and based on the implementation schedule written in the Preparatory Survey Report. The actual project period was 33 months, from October 2011 (start of the D/D) to June 2014. (The starting point of the project is defined as start of the D/D instead of E/N or G/A because the D/D was the substantial start of the project. The completion was defined as the date of completion of construction and handover of facilities written in the Completion Report.¹²)

According to the original plan, the construction works contract would be 8 months after the start of the project. However, the consulting service contract was concluded in October 2011 and the construction contract was concluded in April 2012. he duration was 6 months, which was faster than the plan. On the other hand, the completion of construction took 27 months and occurred in June 2014, 3 months longer than the original plan (24 months). The construction period of the wastewater treatment plant and main trunk sewer pipelines was extended, and the trial operation and handover of the facilities were delayed for 3 months in comparison with the original plan. Reportedly, the progress of the peace negotiations between Israel and Palestine generated a situation of serious labor shortage in Palestine and delayed the construction works.¹³ This is not an "external factor" such as a natural disaster, war and conflict, temporary evacuation resulted from security risks, etc.. Therefore, no specific considerations were taken in

¹² Because a starting point mentioned in the Ex-ante Evaluation Report was not clear, the project period was defined from the start of D/D (Detailed Design) to the date of completion of construction.

¹³ Based on JICA documents. It was reported that (1) Palestinian workers preferred to work in Israel where wages were higher under the relaxion of restrictions for work in Israel, and (2) USAID started a large scale project in Jericho and hired many workers for the project.

the judgment of efficiency.

Regarding the components of the Palestinian side, land acquisition for the wastewater treatment plant and the construction of fences, electricity transmission line, water lead-in pipes, and the building for security guards were implemented during the project period. However, construction of an access road to the plant was delayed one year and completed in 2015. Also, construction of a lead-in box for branch sewer pipelines and connections to houses has been seriously delayed and has not yet been completed.

Therefore, actual project period (for Japanese side) was 33 months, which exceeded the plan of 31 months (106.5%).

< Check Points of Project Evaluation in Conflict-Affected Country/Area > <u>Risk and Costs of Implementing Process of the Project</u>

After the establishment of the cabinet led by Hamas in 2006, the relationship between Palestine and Israel deteriorated. Japanese assistance projects in Palestine were also affected and some projects were inevitably suspended at the time. However, the relationship between Palestine and Israel improved after 2010 and it was relatively stable during the project period. Direct negative impacts of the unstable political and security situations to the project could not be found.

Although the project cost was same as the plan, the project period exceeded the plan. Therefore, Efficiency of the project is fair. (2)

3.3 Effectiveness and Impact¹⁴ (Rating: 2)

- 3.3.1 Effectiveness
 - 3.3.1.1 Quantitative Effects (Operation and Effect Indicators)

Operation and effect indicators written in the ex-ante evaluation are shown in Table 5.

Indicators	Baseline (2010)	Target (2020)
Wastewater Treatment Amount (m ³ /day)	0	6,540
Pollutant Load of BOD (kg/day)	2,114	504
Concentration of BOD of Discharged Water (mg/l)	500	20
Reuse Water Amount (m ³ /day)	0	6,540

Table 5. Operation and Effect Indicators of the Ex-ante Evaluation

¹⁴ Sub-rating for Effectiveness is to be put with consideration of Impacts.

The project set its target year as 2020, based on the estimated population of 6 years after the completion of the project. The target value was calculated based on the assumption that "the situation which all the wastewater generated by 40 thousand residents was penetrating underground, would be improved to the situation which 68 % of wastewater generated by planned service population of 53 thousand would be collected and treated through the system in the target year of 2020."¹⁵ The wastewater treatment plant was designed to have a maximum capacity of treating 9,800m³/day.

As already mentioned in the section of "Appropriateness of the Project Plan and Approach" under "Relevance," the target values in 2020 were calculated based on the excessive assumption of the target population, amount of wastewater, load of BOD, etc., and the target values were revised to more realistic figures in December 2017 after the completion of the project. Since there was no revision of the target values during the project period between 2011 and 2014, the judgment of "Effectiveness" should be based on the comparison between the original target value at the time of planning (written in the Ex-ante Evaluation) and actual values. Table 6 shows the baseline data of 2010 at the time of planning, original target values for 2020 (written in the Ex-ante Evaluation), actual values for each year after the completion of the project in June 2014, and the latest actual values in April 2018.

	Baseline	Target			Actual		
			June	June	June	June	April
		2020	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Indicators	2010	2020 (6 years after		1 year	2 years	3years	3years & 10
	2010	(0 years after	Comple-	after	after	After	months after
		completion)	tion Year	comple	Comple	Comple	Comple-
				-tion	-tion	-tion	tion
Wastewater Treatment	0	6,540	0	188	419	814	1,090
Amount (m ³ /day)							
Pollutant Load of BOD	2,114	504	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	1,495
(kg/day)							
Concentration of BOD of	500	20	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	10
Discharged Water (mg/l)							
Reuse Water Amount	0	6,540	0	0	364	686	890
(m^3/dav)							

Table 6. Main Operation and Effect Indicators (Baseline, Target, and Actual Values)

(note) Baseline and target values were based on the Ex-ante Evaluation. Actual values were based on the data from Jericho Municipality.

Ex-post evaluation was conducted in FY2017, which was almost in the mid-term between the year of the completion of the project (June 2014) and the target year of 2020. The achievement rates of the target values were calculated based on the latest data at the end of April 2018. As

¹⁵ Preparatory Survey Report, page V.

one plausible roadmap to reach target values, the evaluator set a straight line with the starting point as the time of completion of the wastewater treatment plant to target point in June 2020 (6 years [72 months] after the completion). If the expected mid-term target value at the time of evaluation is calculated base on the straight line, the value at the end of April 2018 (which is 46 months after the completion) should be 63.9% of the original target value in 2020 (46 months÷72 months).

Table 7 shows the baseline values in 2010, the target values in June 2020 (6 years after completion) set at the time of planning, the expected mid-term target value for April 2018 (63.9% of the original target), the actual value at the time of ex-post evaluation in April 2018, and the achievement rates in terms of the 4 indicators.

Indicators	Baseline	Target	Mid-term target at	Actual at the	Achieve-
	(2010)	(2020)	the time in April	time of	ment Rate
			2018 (63.9% of	evaluation	(%)
			the target) (*note)	(April 2018)	
Wastewater Treatment Amount	0	6,540	4,179	1,090	26.1
(m ³ /day)					
Pollutant Load of BOD	2,114	504	1,085	1,495	72.6
(kg/day)					
Concentration of BOD for	500	20	20	10	achieved
Discharged Water (mg/l)					
Reuse Water Amount (m ³ /day)	0	6,540	4,179	890	21.3

Table 7. Main Operation and Effect Indicators

*(Note) 63.9% of original target values in the case of drawing a straight line from the starting point of 0 (zero) in 2010 to target point in June 2020, excluding the value of concentration of BOD (water quality).

As Table 7 shows, the actual value of wastewater treatment amount was 26.1% of the mid-term target value (16.7% of the original target of 2020), and the actual value of reused water amount was 21.3% of the mid-term target value (13.6% of original target of 2020). Therefore, the achievement rates of those indicators were less than 50%. The achievement rate of the pollutant load of BOD was 60.2% of the mid-term target value (38.4% of the original target), and the concentration of BOD (water quality) achieved, exceeding the target value.

Chart 2 shows the changes in the average amount per day of wastewater treatment from 2014, the completion of the project, to February 2018. Chart 3 shows the changes of the reused water amount per month since March 2016, the time operation began. The amount of reused water was expected to be the same as the amount of wastewater treatment at the time of planning; however, the solids in wastewater would be reused for other purposes as solid waste, and the amount of reused water should be less than the amount of treated wastewater. (At the time of ex-post evaluation, solid waste had not yet been reused and is being kept at the wastewater treatment plant site because the legal framework for the regulation of water reuse has not yet been decided.)



Chart 2. Changes of Amount of Wastewater Treatment (unit: m³/day)

(Note) Based on the document of Jericho Municipality



Chart 3. Amount of Reuse Water (unit: m³/month)

The amount of treated wastewater depends on the progress of the construction of the branch sewer network and connections to houses. Although those construction works are the components borne by the Palestinian side, the target value of treated wastewater amount may not be achieved without the completion of the construction of the branch sewer network and house connections. The judgment of the Effectiveness and Impacts of the project also depends on their progress. After the completion of the Japanese side's construction work in June 2014, several assistance programs have been implemented to support the progress of the components borne by the Palestinian side in addition to their own efforts. The programs are as follows:

(1) Construction of the house connections supported by and using the budget of the pilot projects of JICA's "Technical Assistance and Capacity Building Project for the Jericho Sanitation" (December 2012 \sim March 2018): 246 house connections in pilot project 1 (PP1) by 2014 (Budget; US\$236,000), and 645 house connections in pilot project 2 (PP2) after 2014 (Budget; US\$741,000).

(2) Construction of the branch sewer network supported by USAID (Jericho Collection

⁽Note) Based on the document of Jericho Municipality

System Expansion Project): 13.1km for a population of 5,500 (about 25% of the population of Jericho Municipality) was supported by Phase 1A (November 2014-November 2015) (4.45 million US\$), and 23.5km for a population of 23,220 (about 45% of the population of Jericho Municipality) is now under construction in Phase 1B (2017- 2019 [plan]). This project covers almost 70% of the population of Jericho Municipality, and will be completed by 2019. This cover ratio was estimated by USAID based on the population in Jericho Municipality. It should be noted that population of refugee camp was excluded from this calculation because refugee camp is not a target of the USAID project.

(3) Jericho Municipality has improved 3.95km of branch sewer pipelines and 500 house connections through PP3 (248 thousand US\$), and plans to improve 650 house connections through PP4 (budget from the Ministry of Finance of the Palestinian Authority and the counterpart fund of non-project grant aid provided by the Japanese government).

(4) As a result of the progress of the projects mentioned above, the number of house connections (the numbers of connected households and connections to sewer pipelines) has been steadily increasing, as shown in Table 8. The number of connected houses has increased to 1,936, which was 38.3% of the target number of 2020 (747 \div 1,952). The number of connections to sewer pipelines was 747 at the end of 2017, which was 86.7% (737 \div 850) of the target number written in the *Strategic Business Plan 2014-2020* of Jericho Municipality. House connections have been progressing in recent years.

(Floctual number of each year and target number in 2020)						
		Actual				Target
	End of 2014	End of 2015	End of 2016	End of 2017	End of March 2018	2020
Number of connected	246	n.a.	891	1,836	1,936	n.a.
households						
Number of connections to	104	433	498	737	747	
sewer pipelines						
Target value of each year in	89	497	581	850	n.a.	1,952
Strategic Business Plan of						
Jericho Municipality *						

Table 8. The Increase of the Number of House Connections(Actual number of each year and target number in 2020)

(Note) Based on the interviews and documents provided by the Jericho Municipality

* Target value of Strategic Business Plan is based on the number of connections to sewer pipelines.

(5) Construction of the Sewerage System in Aqbet Jaber Refugee Camp was implemented by UNRWA in 2017, with grant aid in the supplementary budget (FY2017) from the Japanese government. Package No.1 of the construction (500 households, population of 3,451) was

completed in 2017.¹⁶ Packages No.2-4 of the construction (1200 households, population of 8,850) are planned for 2018-2019, with the Japanese government's grant aid to international organizations (FY2018).

3.3.1.2 Qualitative Effects (Other Effects)

"Improvement of the living and sanitation environment of the residents", "increase of agricultural production" and "Confidence building between Palestine and Israel," mentioned as "qualitative effects" in the Preparatory Survey Report, should be regarded as "Impacts" of the project. Therefore, those items were moved to the section of "Intended Impacts" in this report. (Since those items should be regarded as "Impacts," the objectives of the project were also revised in this ex-post evaluation, as mentioned in the section of "1-2. Outline of the Project.")

3.3.2 Impacts

3.3.2.1 Intended Impacts

In the Ex-ante Evaluation and the Preparatory Survey Report at the time of planning, the following items were stipulated as "qualitative effects," and but are now examined as "Impacts" of the project in this ex-post evaluation report.

As beneficiary interviews of the project, interviews were conducted of some beneficiaries, such as the residents, farmers, and other groups (major hotels, schools, shops, etc.) in Jericho Municipality and its surrounding area as well as residents and peoples' committees in refugee camps. Precisely speaking, 4 farmers who buy reused water in Jericho Municipality, 6 households in the residential area (where house connections were already completed), management at 2 hotels in Jericho Municipality, 2 shops in the center of Jericho Municipality, and 2 schools were visited and interviewed.

In Aqbet Jaber Refugee Camp, our evaluation team visited 2 households to check the situations of wastewater facilities, and also conducted a group interview with the chairperson, 4-5 members of people's committee, and experts from the UNRWA. In addition, I conducted interviews about the situations and future plans of wastewater treatment with the Japan Agricultural Industrial Park (JAIP), a local office of "the Jericho Park" project, Ain Sultan Refugee Camp, and the joint council of Nwaeima and Duyuk, which were included in the target areas of the initial plan but were unable to realize construction of a wastewater network.

¹⁶ Construction of house connections for 500 households was completed, but 20-30 households could not use the wastewater pipelines because of the problem of the construction work. (Based on interviews with the People's Committee and local households.) It was reported that these problems have been improved by extending the fixing period by the contractor from 1 year to 3 years.

(1) Improvement of Living and Sanitary Situations of Residents

Based on the interviews of households, individuals perceived an improvement of sanitary situations, raising examples that there was no longer overflow of wastewater in roads and that trouble with the neighboring families had abated. They must have asked a vacuum car to collect wastewater periodically and paid for its cost, which was not small and more expensive than the fee for wastewater services. I received responses from 2 schools in Jericho Municipality indicating that they previously needed to hire vacuum cars periodically to collect wastewater because the bad smell and unsanitary conditions hindered students' studying. Sanitary conditions at schools became better after the connection lines had completed.

However, connections to households and schools have just begun, and the covering rate of wastewater treatment service is still low. Therefore, I cannot clarify the impacts of the project regarding changes in the sanitary situations and health conditions of the people in Jericho Municipality.

One of hotels answered that they had their own wastewater treatment facility, but they were able to increase the amount of treated wastewater from $100m^3/day$ to $150 m^3/day$ after the completion of the new wastewater treatment plant. They also mentioned that they recognized the benefits of decreasing odors. However, logic generating impacts on the increase or decrease of tourists in this area could not be identified.

The impact of the project on "cleaner streets in the city," which was mentioned at the time of planning, was difficult to identify objectively. Construction work was delayed in the center of Jericho Municipality where there are many shops, because construction work of wastewater pipelines will stop traffic and hinder their business for a period of time and some shops ask for compensation.

(2) Increase of Agricultural Production

An increase of agricultural production in Jericho Municipality and its surrounding area by using reused water was expected as an impact of the project. Private agriculture companies began to reuse the treated water, which was limited to use for cultivation of dates by farmers in the surrounding area of the wastewater treatment plant. At the time of ex-post evaluation, only 5 farmers were using the treated wastewater, so it was difficult to find the project's impact on the increase of agricultural production in the area as a whole. Those farmers using the treated water said, "Date trees can grow faster and yield better." (See the Column below.)

At the time of planning, it was expected that sludge subsidiarily generated from wastewater treatment would be dried and sold as soil for agriculture. For that purpose, it is necessary to formulate a legal framework and guidelines for selling the sludge as soil for agricultural use and to decide the selling price through negotiations between the Ministry of Agriculture and PWA.

The negotiation is ongoing and has not yet been concluded. The sludge has accumulated at the site of the wastewater treatment plant, and is waiting for the time when it can be sold.

(3) Contribution to Reduction of CO2 Emission

At the time of planning, it was expected that "CO2 emission could be reduced to half of other existing wastewater treatment plants in other cities by introduction of energy-saving equipment and installation of solar panels."

In fact, the power required for the plant operation can be acquired from (1) solar panels installed at the site of the plant, and (2) purchase of electricity generated by solar panels of Jerusalem District Electricity Company (JDECO) located next to the plant.

Solar panels installed at the site of the plant can generate solar power up to 700kWh/day in a clear day and nearly 600kWh/day on average during summer months, which is almost 30% of the necessary power for the operation of the wastewater treatment plant. However, power generation by those solar battery panels is less on cloudy days during winter from November to January. On the other hand, the power from JDECO can be purchased at cheaper rate because the Jericho Municipality invested 40% of the capital fund to construct solar panels at JDECO in the area next to the plant. However, the power purchased from JDECO is not always generated by the solar panels, and the amount and price of the electricity are unknown.

Column: Utilization of Reuse Water

Agriculture is the main industry in Jericho Municipality and the surrounding areas because of their warm climates. However, it is difficult to develop new water resources due to the relationship with Israel, adjacent to the area. Therefore, reuse of treated wastewater is expected to be a new water resource for agriculture.

The treated wastewater began to be provided to farmers in the area surrounding the wastewater treatment plant in April 2016, and was supplied to 5 farmers in total at the time in April 2018. Those farmers installed connection pipes to their farms and distribution pumps at their own expense.

Table 9 shows the summary of interviews of 4 farmers (among the aforementioned 5 farmers) who utilize treated water from the plant. At the time in April 2018, one more farmer was in the process of making an official contract, and 3 more farmers were on the waiting list for receiving the treated water. The needs for reuse water are large, and the amount of reuse water which can be purchased by one farmer house is limited to $100m^3/day$ in the contract. (The farmer who concluded the first contract to use the treated water is allowed exceptionally to use the reuse water of $150m^3/day$.)

All farmers answered that their production of dates has increased by using the reuse water, and would like to increase their production by extending the cultivation area if they could obtain access to more reuse water.

				-
	Date of contract	Volume of reuse water (m ³ /day)	No. of date trees	Situations of utilization and benefits of reuse water
Farmer No.1 (A)	April 18, 2016	150	2000	Previously, he had a well only, and he still uses the well. There was no yield previously because of young trees, but now it is 120 tons/year. He is the first farmer using treated water. Other farmers were hesitant to use treated water, but he explained to other farmers that reuse water was beneficial.
Farmer No.2 (I)	August 1, 2016	50	4000	He farms a lot of land, uses well water, and purchases other water, too. He can save money for fertilizers by using reuse water. (The yield is almost the same.)
Farmer No.3 (S)	October 10, 2016	100	1500	Date trees grow faster when utilizing treated water. The yield per tree increased.
Farmer No.4 (N)	Novemb er 1, 2016	100	1400	Previously, he used a well (his trees were young), but now uses reuse water only because the well dried up. Yield has increased to 60 tons by utilizing treated water.
Farmer No.5 (A)	April 9, 2017	50	n.a.	No interview

Table 9. Summary of Interviews of Farmers Who Buy and Use Treated Water



An example of date farms using treated water

3.3.2.2 Other Positive and Negative Impacts

(1) Impact on the Natural Environment

In advance of construction, the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) was conducted in accordance with the environmental law by the Environment Quality Authority (EQA) and no major problem was observed. There are no residential houses in the surrounding area of the plant, no industrial wastewater, sludge generated by the wastewater treatment plant is treated and kept inside of the site of the plant, and treated water is reused for agriculture. The person in charge of EQA (director) visits the plant and checks the environmental impact regularly (approximately once a month) after the completion of the plant.¹⁷ There are no complaints from residents because there are no residential houses in the surrounding area of the plant.¹⁸

According to the "Smell Survey"¹⁹ conducted by the consultant of "Technical Assistance and Capacity Building Project for the Jericho Sanitation" in 2016, there was no problem in terms of smell of the treatment plant. However, there were some bad smells stemming from a waste landfill site and a large cow stockyard near the plant.

(2) Resettlement and Land Acquisition

The wastewater treatment plant is located in a remote area from the center of Jericho. It is on land which is owned by the Palestinian Authority with no residents. Therefore, there was no resettlement of residents.

Although there was a minor change of the location of the plant from the originally planned site, there was no acquisition of new land for the construction of the plant.

< Check Points of Project Evaluation in a Conflict-affected Country/Area >

① Effectiveness: Risks in the Process of Project Implementation

Palestine has been facing budgetary deficit continuously. International donors, such as European countries and the U.S., have been providing assistance, including budgetary support to Palestine, but a financial gap has still exists. Therefore, the project component of the Palestinian side was usually difficult or delayed in implementation due to lack of budgetary support. In this project, the components of the Palestinian side were delayed in implementation (such as the construction of branch sewer pipelines and house connections in Jericho Municipality) or there seems to be no prospect regarding when the project's

¹⁷ Based on the interview to EQA. The headquarter of EQA is located in Ramallah. Its branch office in Jericho was established in 2016, and thereafter inspections by the EQA began to be conducted regularly.

¹⁸ Based on the interviews of the director of the Jericho EQA Office and a manager of the wastewater treatment plant of Jericho Municipality.

¹⁹ The smell survey was conducted by local contractor, which measured 6 items (ammonia, hydrogen sulfide, volatile organic matter, temperature, humidity, and wind velocity) at 5 locations surrounding the WWTF.

budget will be secured (such as the plans of construction of the wastewater network in two surrounding LGUs (Local Government Units)). The financial constraints of the Palestinian side have made a large impact on the effectiveness of the project. It is uncertain whether the necessary risk hedge measures were considered for reducing the impact on the project.

② Impacts: Impact on Unstable Factors

It was mentioned in the Preparatory Survey Report, "The Palestinian efforts of preserving the environment and underground water would contribute to the confidence building between Palestine and Israel because it would benefit Israel who shares the same underground water resources." This impact could not be verified because I could not conduct interviews of Israeli side.

< Summary of Effectiveness and Impacts >

Since the target values were set for 2020, the target value at the time of ex-post evaluation in 2018 is not clear. Given that the amount of inflow of wastewater is still limited, it is highly probable that the target values have not yet been achieved at the time of writing. On the other hand, since the target values at the time of ex-post evaluation are not clear and it is difficult to get accurate achievement rates of target values, Effectiveness of the project is regarded as fair.

The impacts of the project can be identified in the area where wastewater treatment service is provided and reuse water from the plant is utilized. However, its impacts are limited in the target area of the project as a whole because the amount of wastewater treatment is still limited.

Therefore, Effectiveness and Impacts of the project are fair. (2)

3.4 Sustainability (Rating: 2)

3.4.1 Institutional / Organizational Aspects of Operation and Maintenance

Although the executing agency of the project was the PWA, the actual body responsible for the operation and maintenance of the facilities is Jericho Municipality. The PWA is the competent authority of the project. Moreover, the staff of the section of wastewater service in Jericho Municipality is engaging in the operation and maintenance of the wastewater treatment plant and wastewater related facilities in the city and its surrounding area. The wastewater service section was newly established in 2014, when the wastewater treatment facilities were completed. At the time of the section's establishment in 2014, the number of staff was 13 in total: 10 for the wastewater treatment plant, including a manager of the section, and 3 for wastewater pipelines, including house connections.

The number of staff in the wastewater service section was still 13 when the defect inspection was conducted in June 2015. The inspection report mentioned that the minimum number of necessary staff was hired but some were part-time. Therefore, the staff is also the minimum for

normal operation of the plant, and not enough for responses in cases of emergency.²⁰ The number of staff increased after the defect inspection with 15 permanent staff and 2 part-time staff employed at the end of 2017. (The increase of the number of permanent staff to 15 was a result indicator of the "Technical Assistance and Capacity Building Project for the Jericho Sanitation" and it was achieved.) After that, 2 part-time staff (for mechanical issues and water quality checks) became permanent staff (17 in total), and the situation had been improved at the time of ex-post evaluation in April 2018.

More precisely speaking, the wastewater treatment plant is operated by 3 staff members—a manager, engineer, and worker—in 4 shifts (every 6 hours, only one staff at night). The wastewater treatment plant has 2 lines, but only one line is in operation while another is suspended due to the lack of treated wastewater. The suspended line is sometimes put into operation for maintenance and is kept properly. Wastewater pipelines are maintained by one manager and 3 workers who have good skills, and no problem was found in terms of their ability for maintenance.

A challenge for the future is whether the current system is sufficient for the management and maintenance of the wastewater treatment facilities and pipelines in the case that the amount of wastewater increases. As to the wastewater treatment plant, it seems possible to maintain the plant with the current system. Regarding the wastewater pipelines, high pressure cleaners were already introduced and it seems possible to conduct regular maintenance with the current system for next 5 years. Yet, the system needs to be strengthened when the facilities and pipelines will deteriorate after 10 years and onward.

3.4.2 Technical Aspects of Operation and Maintenance

Among major municipalities in Palestine, Jericho Municipality was the only municipality where no wastewater network had been constructed, and it had no experience of implementing wastewater projects. Therefore, the Engineering Department of Jericho Municipality was in charge of the project until the completion of the construction of the facilities. In the technical maintenance section of the Service Department, there are only a few mechanical engineers engaging in the maintenance of an existing water pumping plant.²¹

Therefore, in parallel with this project, "Technical Assistance and Capacity Building Project for Jericho Sanitation" was implemented from December 2012 to March 2018. The project goal of the technical cooperation project was "to establish a system for operation and management of sewage works in Jericho municipality", and the indicator of "output 2" of the technical cooperation project, which was "to develop capacity of Jericho municipality for appropriate

²⁰ A document provided from JICA.

²¹ Based on "Preparatory Survey Report" pp.2-3.

operation and maintenance of the sewage treatment", was mostly achieved.²²

At the time of this ex-post evaluation, the number of staff of the wastewater service section of Jericho Municipality is relatively small. However, they are considered to have enough technical skills in terms of technology, management, and finance because no major problems could be found in the constructed wastewater treatment plant and pipelines and there were no major complaints from users of the wastewater service. The manuals of operation and maintenance were formulated, maintenance records were written properly, and minor repair works were conducted on a daily basis by the staff.

"Technical Assistance and Capacity Building Project for Jericho Sanitation" was implemented as planned, although the project period was extended half a year. The technical cooperation project was planned to complement the Grant Aid project of construction of the wastewater treatment facilities, and supported strengthening the capacity of management of wastewater treatment service of Jericho Municipality. In its pilot projects, the technical cooperation also implemented some of the construction works of house connections in Jericho Municipality to supplement the component that was supposed to be borne by the Palestinian side. There was no wastewater network and no wastewater treatment service section in Jericho Municipality at the start of the Grant Aid project in 2011. With regard to this fact, the technical cooperation project was a necessary project to develop the capacity of management of wastewater service in Jericho Municipality, and by working in combination with the Grant Aid project to construct wastewater facilities, two projects had complementary relationship each other.

In the Preparatory Survey Report, "technical accumulation will be available in Jericho Municipality staff and it will spread to nearby areas" was mentioned as a qualitative effect. In fact, the wastewater treatment plant of Jericho Municipality has received several visits of wastewater service staff from other municipalities, such as Al-Bireh, Nablus, Jenin, and Jericho Municipality hosted a seminar for waters suppliers union in 2016. However, there was no record of cases in which staff of Jericho Municipality visited to other municipalities to transfer their own experiences. In addition, staff of Jericho Municipality did not provide any technical advice to the wastewater project in the refugee camp because the area is under UNRWA jurisdiction.

3.4.3 Financial Aspect of Operation and Maintenance

The financial management of wastewater service was coupled with water service in Jericho Municipality. Water service has been financially supported by a water tariff paid by users, and

²² Based on "Terminal Evaluation Report" of the Technical Assistance and Capacity Building Project for Jericho Sanitation.

without any subsidies from the budget of Jericho Municipality. At the time of planning, the financial status of the water sector service was considered as healthy due to efforts such as revision of the water tariff system and improvement of the tariff collection rate.²³

After the completion of the wastewater treatment facilities in 2014 and development of wastewater treatment service, the financial status of wastewater service has been facing a deficit and the extent of the deficit has been increasing.

Because the wastewater treatment plant was completed in 2014 and the wastewater pipelines are still new, the maintenance cost of the wastewater treatment plant and pipelines has not yet become a large financial burden. On the other hand, construction of branch sewer pipelines and house connections must be finished to complete the wastewater network, and its budget is already insufficient. Although the number of house connections has been increasing, the low collection rate of the wastewater tariff is a major cause of financial deficit.²⁴

The collection rate of the wastewater tariff after the start of wastewater service was about 9% in 2015, and has been improved gradually after that. However, it is still limited to about 24% during the entire period between 2015 and 2017. There is data showing that the collection rate of the wastewater tariff in the area of PP1/PP2 is limited to about 17% for the newly installed part.²⁵ It has been reported that large governmental and security organizations have a tendency not to pay the tariff, and their share of unpaid tariff is about 30% of the total (monetary basis).



Chart 5. Estimates and Actual Financial Balance of Wastewater Service (unit: thousand sheqel)

(Note) Jericho Municipality, *Strategic Business Plan 2014-2020.* Actual values are before 2017; estimate values are after 2018. Revenue: more than 0, Expenditure: less than 0.

²³ Based on "Preparatory Survey Report" pp.2-4.

²⁴ Detailed data of the financial status of water sector as a whole (including water service) could not be obtained.

²⁵ Based on interviews to the staff of Jericho Municipality.

To improve the tariff collection rate, some methods such as integrated collection of water tariff and wastewater tariff, introduction of prepaid meters, increase of staff for tariff collection (shift from another section), and measures to collect unpaid tariff from large users were proposed, and some have already been implemented. However, it is uncertain whether the collection rate has improved or not due to those efforts because large (governmental) users themselves are also facing serious financial situations.

As shown in Chart 5 (Estimates and Actual Financial Balance of Wastewater Service), Jericho Municipality forecasts that the financial deficit of wastewater service will be improved after 2018. However, there are some risks in their assumption.

Firstly, there are some challenges to increase revenues as follows:

① The amount of wastewater from JAIP is expected to increase in the plan. However, it has not increased as expected because industrial waste of some companies in JAIP is difficult to be received and this problem must be solved.

⁽²⁾ The amount of wastewater from Aqbet Jaber Refugee Camp is expected to increase in accordance to the progress of construction of the wastewater network in the camp. However, collection of the wastewater tariff from users of wastewater service in the refugee camp is uncertain.

③ In accordance to the progress of construction of house connections in the projects of Phase 3 and Phase 4 of Jericho Municipality, it is expected that users will pay for the cost of house connection and collection rate of wastewater will be 100%. In reality, the cost of the house connection was not usually paid in previous cases, although the unpaid tariff is a credit in the financial account of Jericho Municipality. Jericho Municipality must make efforts to increase house connections and also collect the tariff from the beneficiaries. ²⁶

Secondly, there are some challenges in their estimates of expenditures as follows:

Since the wastewater treatment plant and pipelines are relatively new, it is expected that the maintenance cost will not be large for some years. This expectation is based on the assumption that large scale repair will not be necessary, although some spare parts will likely be required. However, the maintenance cost might increase due to malfunction and trouble with equipment as it deteriorates. In addition, the number of staff might need to be increased for maintenance, including staff for using a jet cleaner car, etc. There is a risk in keeping sufficient budget funds for increasing maintenance costs in the future.

Therefore, the forecast that the financial balance of wastewater service will be improved is not certain in terms of both revenue and expenditure. It is probable that the financial deficit will

²⁶ Jericho Municipality has made some efforts to improve financial sustainability, such as introduction of unified billing system, installing prepaid water meters, and issue of the Clearance license ,which verifies citizen does not have any debts to the Municipality.

continue. To avoid such situations, it is important for Jericho Municipality to make urgent efforts to balance revenue from tariffs with expenditure for wastewater service.

3.4.4 Status of Operation and Maintenance

The staff of Jericho Municipality began operation of the wastewater treatment plant in August 2014. There is no major problem in the plant's operation of wastewater treatment and discharge.²⁷

After the completion of the facilities in 2014, there were three major troubles in parts of the plant in 2015 as follows:²⁸

①Vacuum Sludge Pump No.2: repair (October-November 2015)

⁽²⁾Thickened Sludge Pump No.1, 2, 3: repair (November 2015)

③Return Sludge Pump No.2: exchange (November 2015)

All problems were solved properly either by repair or exchange of equipment by the contractors of the project at their own expense under defect liability warranty. There were no major damages and troubles after that. There were minor problems such as inflow of rainwater into the machine room, asphalt depressions on the road surface, and peeled painting on the sludge tank. However, those issues did not cause any problems for the operation of the plant. There was minor water leakage from pipes, which was fixed by the staff. No problems could be found in terms procured equipment such as water quality analysis equipment. Consumable supplies such as chemicals have been purchased by Jericho Municipality.

< Check Points of Project Evaluation in Conflict-Affected Country/Area > Risks in the Process of Project Implementation

After the new administration of President Trump was established in January 2018 in the U.S., the U.S. government adopted the policies of moving the US Embassy in Israel from Tel Aviv to Jerusalem and reducing US aid to the Palestinian Authority and budgetary support for UNRWA. These US policies not only further deteriorated the relationship between Palestine and the U.S., but also worsened the financial situations of the Palestine Authority and UNRWA. It would make it more difficult for the Palestine Authority and UNRWA to implement their project components by using their own budgets, and their financial risks have been increasing. This increase of financial risk could not have been expected at the time of planning. As mentioned in the "section 3.3. Effectiveness", Phase 4 of the project of Jericho Municipality to increase house connections and Phase 2 of UNRWA's project of constructing a sewerage network in the refugee camp have been already supported by the budget provided

²⁷ A document provided by JICA.

²⁸ Interview of the staff of the Wastewater Treatment Plant.

by the Japanese government. However, the progress of the USAID project (1B) of constructing sewer networks and the financial situation of Jericho Municipality should be monitored.

Thus, no major problem could be found in terms of operation and maintenance of the wastewater treatment facilities and pipelines, the maintenance system, and the technical aspect even though the number of staff is still small. In terms of the financial aspect, the collection rate of wastewater tariff is still low. It is highly probable that the situation of deficit in the financial balance of wastewater sector will continue without the improvement of the tariff collection rate. Efforts to improve the tariff collection rate have already been made, but there are still uncertain factors regarding the improvement of the financial balance of the service.

Therefore, no major problems have been observed in the institutional and organizational aspect, technical aspect, and current status of the operation and maintenance system. However, some problems have been observed in terms of the financial aspect. Therefore, Sustainability of the project effects is fair. (2)

4. Conclusion, Lessons Learned, and Recommendations

4.1 Conclusion

The objective of the project is to construct wastewater treatment facilities and reuse the treated wastewater for Jericho Municipality and its surrounding area, which will extend wastewater treatment services and keep water resources, and thus improve sanitary conditions and contribute to the development in the district.

The project was consistent with the development policy and the development needs of Palestine and Japan's ODA policy toward Palestine. However, at the time of project planning, there was a problem in the plan regarding the estimation of the amount of wastewater treatment and the examination of the feasibility of the components borne by the Palestinian side. Therefore, its Relevance is fair. (2)

The project cost of the Japanese portion was the same as planned. Nevertheless, since the project period exceeded the planned period (106.5%), Efficiency of the project is fair. (2)

The target year for generating the development effects was set for 2020. Yet, at the end of April 2018 (3 years and 10 months after the completion of the project), the achievement rates of the main indicators of effectiveness, such as the wastewater treatment amount and reuse water amount, were less than 50% of the planned target values for 2020 (written in Ex-ante Evaluation Report). Therefore, it is highly probable that the target values cannot be considered as achieved. However, it is difficult to estimate the exact target values at the time of evaluation in 2018, and to find clear evidence that the project effects were lower than the target. Therefore, the project

Effectiveness is fair. The positive impacts of the project are mostly observed. Therefore, this project has achieved its objectives to some extent, and Effectiveness and Impacts of the project are fair. (2)

As to the operation and management of the project, there are no major problems in terms of the organizational and technical aspects, but there are some problems regarding the financial aspect. Therefore, Sustainability of the project effects is fair. (2)

In light of the above, this project is evaluated to be unsatisfactory.

4.2 JICA's Contribution and Role

To realize and enhance the effects of wastewater treatment facilities in Jericho Municipality and its surrounding area, several projects have been implemented with the support of JICA. These projects include, in addition to this Grant Aid project of Japan and technical cooperation project of JICA, construction of a sewerage system in Aqbet Jaber Refugee Camp by UNRWA, construction of branch sewer network in Jericho Municipality by USAID, project of house connections by Jericho Municipality,. The outline and the background of those related projects and JICA's contribution and role are summarized as follows:

(1) JICA's Technical Cooperation Project named "Technical Assistance and Capacity Building Project for the Jericho Sanitation." This technical cooperation project started in December 2012 and was completed in March 2018. It was formulated to complement the Grant Aid project for technical support at the start of the Grant Aid project in February 2011. By being put together with the (Grant Aid) project to construct facilities, this technical cooperation project aimed to enhance management capacity for the Palestinians, and the two projects had a complementary relationship with each other.

(2) USAID's "Jericho Collection System Expansion Project (Phase 1A/1B)". Phase 1A project to construct branch sewer pipelines was implemented from November 2014 to November 2015 for one year. Although the wastewater treatment plant and main trunk pipelines were constructed, it was essential for constructing a branch sewer network to make the wastewater treatment network effective. Under the circumstances, USAID decided to provide assistance to Jericho Municipality. Phase 1B project is being implemented from October 2017 to December 2018 (will extend to March 2019). JICA Palestine Office requested USAID to continue their project to extend the amount of treated wastewater in Jericho Municipality in close collaboration with JICA. The joint effort enabled the steady increase of house connections in the city area of Jericho.

(3) UNRWA's project to construct a sewerage system supported by the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Japan (Representatives of Japan [RoJ] in Palestine). Although the Grant Aid project constructed the wastewater treatment plant based on the assumption that the plant would treat

the refugee camp's wastewater, there was no budget for UNRWA to construct a sewerage network in refugee camp, which was outside of the administrative boundary of Jericho Municipality. The Japanese government then decided to provide budgetary funds to UNRWA for constructing a wastewater network in the camp. Phase 1 was supported by the Grant Aid of Japanese government using the supplemental budget of FY2015, which was given to UNRWA in February 2016 and was implemented in 2017. Phases 2-4 were supported by using the aid scheme of "Grant Aid to an International Organization" in 2017, and will be implemented between 2018 and 2019.

(4) Project of Jericho Municipality using counterpart fund of Non-Project Grant Aid of the Japanese government.²⁹ To overcome situations in which construction of house connections in Jericho Municipality was not implemented due to budgetary constraints the Palestinian Authority agreed to use 1 million US\$ from the counterpart fund of Non-Project Grant Aid provided by the Japanese government to the Palestinian Authority (Ministry of Finance) for constructing the wastewater network in Jericho Municipality. The negotiation between the RoJ and the Palestinian Authority on this issue began in 2015, but it took time for negotiation, with both sides finally agreeing in late 2017. This component can be regarded as a typical collaboration project of using the counterpart fund of Non-Project Grant Aid provided by the JAPA

Several efforts were made to provide technical assistance for capacity building of the Palestinian side by formulating several related projects in response to the delay of implementing the Palestinian side's components because of its budget shortage. These efforts can be regarded as a program-based approach to make projects more effective. On the other hand, the related projects (2, 3, 4 mentioned above) were implemented after the completion of the project in June 2014 as a response (as symptomatic therapy) to the delay of the implementation of the Palestinian components. It would have been better if these related projects could have been planned from the beginning and implemented during the project period to create synergetic effects among them.

4.3 Recommendations

4.3.1 Recommendations to the Executing Agency (PWA)

(1) The PWA should make efforts, such as getting its budget, to realize the plan of construction works of sewer network in the areas of the surrounding 2 LGUs of Nwaeima and Duyuk and Ain Sultan Refugee Camp, which were included in the target areas of the project but

²⁹ By using the fund provided by the Japanese government as non-project grant aid to improve the budgetary situations of the Palestinian Authority, the Palestinian Authority buys gasoline and diesel oil from a third country. The Palestinian Authority then sells the goods in Palestine, and uses the money (counterpart fund) for development purposes.

have not yet received any support for the improvement of sewer network.

4.3.2 Recommendations to the Implementing Agency (Jericho Municipality)

(1) The Jericho Municipality should continue to make efforts to increase house connections to branch sewer pipelines in order to increase the amount of wastewater towards the achievement of the target in 2020.

(2) The Jericho Municipality should make further efforts to improve the collection rate of the wastewater tariff, although some measures have already been taken, such as integrated collection of the water tariff and wastewater tariff, introduction of prepaid meters, and an increase of staff for tariff collection. In particular, thorough efforts to collect unpaid tariff from large users should be required.

4.3.3 Recommendations to JICA

(1) Considering the difficult financial situations and vulnerable institutions of the Palestinian side, JICA should continue monitoring several related projects, including a Grant Aid project of UNRWA, Jericho Municipality's project using a part of a Non-Project Grant Aid fund, and USAID's 2B project. Moreover, JICA should do follow-up and confirm that the construction of a wastewater network and house connections in the target areas will be implemented steadily.

(2) Increase of wastewater amount in Aqbet Jaber refugee camp is important to achieve the original target of wastewater treatment amount of the project. Since UNRWA has a plan to install equipment to measure the amount of wastewater of the refugee camp at the connection point to the main trunk, JICA should make its follow-up to verify steady implementation.

(3) JICA should make its follow-up to the Palestinian efforts to realize the plan of construction works of sewer network in the areas of the surrounding 2 LGUs of Nwaeima and Duyuk and Ain Sultan Refugee Camp, which were included in the target areas of the project but have not yet received any support for the improvement of sewer network.

4.4 Lessons Learned

(1) <u>It is important to examine the feasibility and risks of implementation of the components</u> borne by a counterpart at the time of planning.

Regarding the project of construction of a wastewater network, in the case that the project can be effective only when project components of both sides (Japanese side and counterpart side) are implemented as planned, it is important to examine the feasibility of construction and risks of delay of the counterpart's component, and to consider possible response measures in case of emerging the risks.

(2) <u>A program-based approach, the combination of a main project and a related project which</u>

have complementary and synergetic effects to the main project, can be effective to generate project effects. It is desirable to include collaboration with other aid schemes and/or other donors' projects at the time of planning.

In the end, this project has several related projects (such as technical assistance for capacity building of Jericho Municipality, UNRWA's project of construction of a sewerage network in a refugee camp, USAID's project of constructing a branch sewer network in the Jericho city area, and the house connection project of Jericho Municipality), and those projects can be regarded as a whole program. A program-based approach to combine related projects is useful to make a project effective, or to supplement the weak capacity of the counterpart, especially in a conflicted-affected country/area. It would be better if that kind of program-based approach could be planned from the beginning and implemented during the project period in order to achieve its objective by the target year.

Supplementary Explanation for Opinions from JICA Operational Departments on the Ex-Post Evaluation

"The Jericho Wastewater Collection, Treatment System and Reuse Project"

In the process of JICA Ex-Post Evaluation, evaluators conduct evaluation with their value judgement based on the confirmation of the facts through studies including interview with stakeholders. However, disagreement on the evaluation results can happen among stakeholders including evaluators, JICA's departments/offices in charge or executing agencies.

In such a case, JICA Evaluation Department publicizes both parties's opinion in the report not onlyto deal with the stakeholders faithfully but to keep independency of evaluators.

In light of the above, the opinion of operational departments/office in charge of the titled project is attached herewith.

JICA Ex-Post Evaluation is conducted in line with JICA Guidelines for Operations Evaluation (Second Edition) and JICA Handbook for Operations Evaluation (Ver1.1) and References for External Ex-post Evaluation. Ex-post evaluation is conducted based on the comparison of the plan and the results, with focuses on the confirmation of 1) whether measures were taken appropriately to realize the development effects and 2) to what extent the development effects were realized as a result of such measures

END

Middle East and Europe Department Global Environment Department Financial Cooperation Implementation Department Palestine Office

The Opinion of the Operational Departments/Office on the Ex-Post Evaluation of the Jericho Wastewater Collection, Treatment System and Reuse Project

Summary

- Regarding Relevance, the Ex-post Evaluation concludes that there was a problem in the project planning because of the estimation of the amount of wastewater treatment. However, the amount of wastewater treatment was properly estimated based on the then-available population data as well as the assumed Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) (Discussion Point #1 in "Detail of Opinion" below; hereinafter the same). We recognize the examination of the feasibility of the components borne by the Palestinian side had been appropriately done (Discussion Point #2). The Project Operational Departments/Office believe that the Relevance of the project planning should be evaluated based on the data and facts available at the time of the project planning stage (Discussion Point #3).
- Regarding Effectiveness and Impacts, the Project Operational Departments/Office have a different view from the External Evaluator's one on the evaluation method as well as on aspects to be taken into consideration when Ex-post Evaluation is conducted prior to the target year as is the case for this Project. It is questionable to conclude that "it is highly probable that the target values cannot be considered as achieved" at this moment (Discussion Point #4).
- Responses to the delay of the Palestinian side in meeting their commitments in this Project are positively assessed in the Ex-post Evaluation, however, such responses have been made possible, as a result, only after the project completion, and it was impossible to take it into account during the planning phase. The Project Operational Departments/Office consider it unrealistic to include such ex-post measures into the original project planning since those are unexpected (Discussion Point #5).

Detail of Opinion

Discussion Point #1. Appropriateness of the Project Plan and Approach: the estimate of the population in the target area, pollutant load of biochemical oxygen demand (BOD), and wastewater generation rate (Regarding Section 3.1.4 of the Ex-post Evaluation Report)

<Population estimates>

- The Preparatory Survey estimated the population in the target area based on the information and analysis from the preceding Preliminary Survey from July to August 2010.
- The Preliminary Survey estimated the population in the target area in the following way.
 - Estimating the population growth rate for each of the target communities based on the 1997 and 2007 population census data.
 - Making corrections to these estimated population growth rates by taking into account the development records of the target communities to compute population growth rates for the following years: 2010, 2015, 2020, and 2025.
 - Estimating the population size in each of the target communities for the years mentioned above by multiplying the population size of 2007 by the population growth rates until 2025.
- At the time of the Preliminary Survey, significant discrepancies were found in population estimates for Jericho and its surrounding areas, depending on the information source. For example, a draft report on technical cooperation project between the cities of Paris (France) and Jericho states that "although the Palestinian Central Bureau of Statistics (PCBS) estimates the population of Jericho at 18,626, it may be underestimated and should be further investigated." As indicated in that report, even the statistical data provided by the PCBS were not very reliable. So it was common for donors including JICA to collect data not only from the PCBS but also from other sources and examine them carefully. Therefore, the Preliminary Survey also made own estimates as mentioned above and developed specifications for this Project's wastewater treatment plant based on their population estimates for 2020. The JICA Project Operational Departments/Office believe that the Preliminary Survey properly estimated the population in the target area based on all the data available, though limited, at that time, and it was not overestimated at all in comparison to the populations in the project application form submitted by Palestinian Water Authority (PWA) and other documents prepared by Jericho Municipality.

Reference Information:

• The populations estimated in the Preliminary Survey to set targets for this Project are not much larger than those estimated in the application form submitted to several donors by PWA for this Project (in December 2002).

Community	2010		2020	
	PWA	Preliminary	PWA	Preliminary
		Survey		Survey
Jericho City*	23,626	19,589	30,243	25,076
		(25,895)		(32,042)
Ein Ad-Duyuk Al-Fukah**	942	907	1,206	1,268
Ein Ad-Duyuk Al-Tehtah**	1,118		1,432	
AJ Camp	7,341	8,243	9,397	12,438
ES Camp	2,356	3,538	3,015	4,943
Al-Nuwee'meh	1,348	1,400	1,725	2,073
TOTAL***	36,731	33,677	47,018	45,798
		(39,983)		(52,764)

(Unit: person)

* It is not known whether the PWA estimates included migrating populations, however, it is generally assumed that they concerned only resident populations. The Preliminary Survey estimates distinguished between migrating and resident populations. The figures in parentheses in the table above represent the sum of migrating and resident populations. Jericho was characterized as having a large non-residential daytime population, such as tourists, police school staff and students, winter immigrants, and prisoners, who consumed a large amount of water. The Preliminary Survey estimated that Jericho had 6,000 as migrating population based on the amount of water consumed by the migrating population of the city (1,226 cubic meters per day), which was calculated from the actual amount of water consumed in the city in 2007.

** While Ein Ad-Duyuk was divided into two communities in the PWA estimates, Ein Ad-Duyuk was treated as a single community in the Preliminary Survey estimates. A part of Ein Ad-Duyuk was served by the water supply system of Jericho at the time of the Preliminary Survey, and so is it now. *** The PWA estimates included the population of Al-Ojah (a town located close to Jericho), in addition to those of the above-mentioned communities; however, the population of Al-Ojah was not included in the table because it was not covered by the Preliminary Survey.

JICA also received a presentation material prepared by Jericho Municipality to explain the city's urgent demand for water (document date unknown). According to this material, the population of Jericho was estimated as 21,109 for 2005 and 25,000 for 2010 respectively. Compared to these figures, the populations estimated in the Preliminary Survey to set the target values for this Project are not considered to be overestimated.

< Pollutant Load of biochemical oxygen demand (BOD)>

• Although the Ex-post Evaluation Report indicated that the BOD value had been overestimated, BOD per capita per day used as the basis for estimating BOD is not considered to have been overestimated, as shown below.

Preliminary Survey estimate	60 (grams/person/day; hereinafter the same)
Planning stage estimate	56.8 (rounded off to the nearest two decimals;
	hereinafter the same)
Re-estimate as of 2017	59.8

<Wastewater generation rate (water-to-wastewater conversion rate)>

• According to the Preliminary Survey Report, the water-to-wastewater conversion rate was estimated as follows based on those of other cities' planning.

Item	This Project	Reference		
		Ramallah	Al-Bireh	Nablus
Planned				
wastewater	70	85	80	80
generation rate (%)				

- According to PWA's sewerage plan, the wastewater generation rates of Al-Bireh and Nablus were both estimated at 80 percent in 2010. According to a preparatory survey for the wastewater treatment plant planned to be constructed in Ramallah in 2010 with support from Germany, the wastewater generation rate was estimated at 85 percent. On the other hand, the Preliminary Survey estimated the wastewater generation rate at as low as 70 percent based on the assumption that compared to other cities, the hot summer weather of Jericho would cause more water consumption to be used for purposes such as garden sprinkling, water-cooling air conditioning, and pool bathing, instead of being discharged to the sewerage system.
- In light of the above, the JICA Project Operational Departments/Office believe that, although the Ex-post Evaluation Report mentions that the population estimates, BOD, and wastewater generation rate used to set target values in the planning stage were overestimated, they were all properly estimated based on the actual situation of Jericho at that time. External Evaluators are expected to assess the "appropriateness of the project

plan and approach" by examining whether the project plan has been developed logically based on the data and facts available at the time of planning. The JICA Project Operational Departments/Office believe that the above-mentioned data collection method and analytical approach were logical and appropriate.

Discussion Point #2. Appropriateness of the Project Plan and Approach: Executing Agencies (Regarding Section 3.1.4 of the Ex-post Evaluation Report)

- The Project came in response to the request from PWA, and JICA discussed the details of the project plan with PWA. The results of the Preparatory Survey (Basic Design) were recorded in the minutes of meetings signed by PWA, the Ministry of Planning and Administrative Development (MoPAD), and JICA. Moreover, PWA took responsibility for this Project. While Jericho Municipality was responsible for operation and maintenance of the wastewater treatment plant constructed in this Project and installation of sewer pipes in the areas served by its water supply and sewerage systems, PWA (in charge of water supply and sewerage services across Palestine) was responsible for implementing the development plan in coordination with the local authorities of Al-Nuwee'meh and Ein Ad-Duyuk (both located in the vicinity of Jericho) as well as with the United Nations Relief and Works Agency (UNRWA) responsible for the refugee camps.
- Due to budget limitations, this Project was aimed at formulating the most possibly effective development plan for sewer networks (trunk and branch pipes), and the Palestinian side agreed to install a total of 16 kilometers of branch pipes and 2,000 connection pits at their own expense. The total costs borne by the Palestinian side were estimated 11,790,000 NIS (almost 3,000,000 USD at the then exchange rate), of which the Palestinian Ministry of Finance made an commitment to fund 2,000,000 USD. These were agreed and confirmed in the minutes of meetings signed by JICA, PWA, and the MoPAD.

Discussion Point #3. Evaluation Method of Appropriateness of the Project Plan and Approach (Regarding Section 3.1.4 of the Ex-post Evaluation Report)

• The Ex-post Evaluation Report evaluates appropriateness of the estimated figures in the project planning stage on the grounds of the revised figures during the technical cooperation project. The JICA Project Operational Departments/Office consider that the appropriateness of the project plan should be evaluated based on the data and facts

available at the time of project planning.

Discussion Point #4. The Evaluation Method of Effectiveness and Impact (Regarding Section 3.3.1.1. and Conclusion of the Ex-post Evaluation Report)

- According to References for External Ex-post Evaluation (JICA, 2017), when "the ex-post evaluation is conducted before the target year of the Project or the Project has incomplete components due to the timing of ex-post evaluation," its effectiveness and impact should be evaluated by assessing "the transition of the level of outcomes that would be produced up to the time of ex-post evaluation and the expected outcomes in the target year to help make an evaluation judgement." As mentioned in the Ex-post Evaluation Report, house connections have been progressing in recent years.
- In order to assess achievement levels as accurately as possible, the Ex-post Evaluation Report analyzes annual changes in the volume of treated wastewater, the amount of reused water, the installment of branch sewer network, and the spread of house connections. In this analysis, the Report draws a straight line from the time of construction completion to the target year to track the rate of progress towards each target, and compares the reference target values and the actual achievements at the time of the Ex-post Evaluation. However, it is debatable whether this analytical method is reasonable or not. Since the comparison is made with the reference values, it cannot be clearly stated that questionable to rely on this comparison as a basis for concluding at this time that "it is highly probable that the target values have not yet been achieved."

Discussion Point #5. JICA's Contribution and Role (Regarding Section 4.2 of the Ex-post Evaluation Report)

• The Ex-post Evaluation Report evaluates the related projects conducted by JICA and others, stating that "it would have been better if these related projects could have been planned from the beginning and implemented during the project period to create synergetic effects among them." However, the related projects starting after the termination of this Project were not foreseeable or predictable in the planning phase of this Project. Therefore, it was impossible to incorporate these into this Project during the planning and implementation phases. Moreover, in this Project, roles were shared so that the Palestinian side would develop part of sewer networks at their own expense, and their commitments had been

clearly confirmed and recorded. The original project plan is considered to be practical and reasonable as development project planning.

- The JICA Project Operational Departments/Office believe that it is important to call for the recipient side to fulfill their commitments to ensure their implementation without any delay.
- As mentioned in the Ex-post Evaluation Report, JICA continued calling for PWA and Jericho Municipality to fulfill their respective commitments through the relevant technical cooperation project during the implementation of this Project.

Fin.